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| 1 | IN DEX |  | PROCEEDINGS |
| 2 | Call to Order ............................ 5 | 2 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: I now call to order |
| 3 | Pledge of Allegiance/Moment of Silence. ....... 5 | 3 | the meeting of the Board of Education of |
| 4 | Closed Session Meeting Items. ............... 6 |  | Baltimore County for Tuesday, October 8th, 2019. |
| 5 | Selection of Speakers ...................... 7 |  | I invite you to rise and recite the |
| 6 | Consideration of Administrative Appointments. . 9 |  | pledge of allegiance to the flag to be led by |
|  | Advisory and Stakeholder Groups ............ 15 |  | Ryan McKinney of Towson University. We will then |
| 8 | BCSC. ............................... 15 |  | remain standing for a moment of silence in |
| 9 | TABCO ............................... 19 |  | recognition of those who have served education in |
| 10 | PTA ................................. 23 | 10 | Baltimore County. |
| 11 | SECAC................................ 26 | 11 | (Pledge of allegiance recited) |
| 12 | Public Comment........................... 29 | 12 | (A moment of silence was observed) |
| 13 | Dr. Muhammad Jameel .................... 29 | 13 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Mr. |
| 14 | Amanda Graves......................... 32 | 14 | McKinney. |
| 15 | Dayana Bergman....................... 34 | 15 | Our first item on the agenda is |
| 16 | Dr. Bash Pharoan. ..................... 37 | 16 | consideration of the October 8th, 2019 agenda. |
| 17 | Brenda Peiffer. ....................... 40 | 17 | Dr. Williams, are there any additions or changes |
| 18 | Lynette Dent......................... 43 | 18 | to tonight's agenda? |
| 19 | Marie Hoerr.......................... 45 | 19 | DR. WILLIAMS: There are no changes to |
| 20 | Tina Gentle.......................... 47 | 20 | tonight's agenda. |
| 21 | Chelsey Cooper........................ 51 | 21 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Hearing none, the |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | presented in Exhibit F-1? | 1 | Academy and Milbrook Elementary. She had prior |
| 2 | MR. OFFERMAN: Make a motion. | 2 | experience in Baltimore City Public Schools for |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Mr. | 3 | four years. |
| 4 | Offerman. Do I have a second? | 4 | Supporting her tonight is a host of |
| 5 | S. HENN: Second | 5 | family and friends. Let me see if I can get this |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Ms. Henn. | 6 | right. Family, her niece, Ronda White Jacobe, |
| 7 | Is there any discussion? | 7 | her sisters-in-law Emma White and Credella White. |
| 8 | (No response) | 8 | Friends and supporters, her friend Darryl Sloane, |
| 9 | HAIRMAN CAUSEY: All in favor, please | 9 | Principal Aubrey Brown, Randallstown High School. |
| 10 | raise your hand | 10 | Medial specialist Donna Anderson and Janine Goode |
| 11 | (All r | 11 | and Tatanisha Love, Social Studies Department |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Any opposed? | 12 | Chair. |
| 13 | (No response) | 13 | Dr. Catina Harris and BCPS retired |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: The motion carries | 14 | educator Herman Young. |
| 15 | unanimous | 15 | (App |
| 16 | DR. WILLIAMS: So our first candidate is | 16 | DR. WILLIAMS: Congratulations. |
| 17 | Dr. Pamela Foresman, please stand, the staff | 17 | Congratulations. |
| 18 | attorney in the Office of Law. Welcome to | 18 | And our last candidate is Dr. Rebecca McGill- |
| 19 | Baltimore | 19 | Wilkinson, Coordinator in the Program Evaluation of |
| 20 | She's an external candidate. She brings | 20 | the Division of Research Accountability and |
| 21 | to us several years of experience, a staff | 21 | Assessment. |
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| 1 | attorney in the Disability Rights of Maryland, a | 1 | She brings to us several months of |
| 2 | teacher at St. Francis of Assisi School, a staf | 2 | experience as a research specialist in the |
| 3 | attorney in the Homes and Special Way Law units |  | Division of Research Accountability and |
| 4 | in the Maryland Disability Law Center, Assistant | 4 | Assessment and previous experience in the U.S. |
| 5 | Attorney General in the Compliance Division of | 5 | Department of Education of seven years, New York |
| 6 | the Comptroller of Maryland, the Office of the |  | University for two years and Temple University, |
| 7 | Attorney General and a trial lawyer and law | 7 | five years. |
| 8 | clerk. |  | Congratulations. |
| 9 | Supporting her this evening her husband | 9 | (Applause) |
| 10 | Brian Mysarsis (phonetic), her daughters Beatrice | 10 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Congratulations and |
| 11 | and Charlotte Mysarsis, please stand | 11 | welcome. |
| 12 | And our Deputy General Counsel, Stephen Cowles. | 12 | Our next item is public comment. This |
| 13 | Congratulations. | 13 | is one of the opportunities the Board provides to |
| 14 | (Applause) | 14 | hear the views and receive the advice |
| 15 | DR. WILLIAMS: Thank you and welcome. | 15 | community members. |
| 16 | Our next candidate is Jaquetta Johnson | 16 | The members of the Board appreciate |
| 17 | a specialist in the Office of Equity and Cultural | 17 | hearing from interested citizens. As |
| 18 | Proficiency. Please stand. There you are | 18 | appropriate, we will refer your concerns to the |
| 19 | She brings to us years of experience as | 19 | Superintendent for followup by his staff |
| 20 | a library science me | 20 | While we encourage public input on |
| 21 | Randallstown High, Pikesville High, Milford Mill | 21 | policy, programs and practices within the purview |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | of this Board and the School System, this is not | 1 | action plans about how to advocate for climate |
| 2 | 2 the proper forum to address specific student or | 2 | change action and implement programs to make |
| 3 | employee matters or to comment on matters that do | 3 | their schools more environmentally friendly. We |
| 4 | 4 not relate to public education in Baltimore | 4 | were glad to see Dr. Williams at this event. |
| 5 | County. | 5 | Thank you for coming. |
| 6 | 6 We encourage everyone to utilize | 6 | Furthermore, the September 20th school |
| 7 | existing dispute resolution processes as | 7 | strike for climate was well populated by BCSC |
| 8 | 8 appropriate. I remind everyone that | 8 | student activists. In fact, Omer and I attended |
| 9 | 9 inappropriate personal remarks or other behavior | 9 | the protest in Inner |
| 10 | that disrupts or interferes with the conduct of | 10 | To that end, BCSC is pioneering a new |
| 11 | this meeting are out of | 11 | structure of committee which addresses student |
| 12 | 2 I ask you to observe the three minut | 12 | led change in BCPS. |
| 13 | 3 clock which will let you know when your time is | 13 | Our environmental committee narrows in |
| 14 | 4 up. Please conclude your remarks when you hear | 14 | on making BCSC schools greener. It's meeting |
| 15 | the bell o | 15 | right now up in Building A, and I encourage Board |
| 16 | 6 The microphone will be turned off at the | 16 | members to lend a listening ear to the concerns |
| 17 | end of your time and it could be turned off | 17 | and suggestions of these students and what might |
| 18 | the speaker addresses specific student or | 18 | come out of these committee meetings |
| 19 | employee matters or is commenting on matters not | 19 | I'd also like to shout out Nadi |
| 20 | related to public education in Baltimore County. | 20 | She's a senior at Eastern Tech. She's a youth |
| 21 | If not selected, the public may submit | 21 | climate activist and she took a really big role |
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| 1 | their commen |  | in organizing the school strikes for climate in |
| 2 | or via e-mail to boe@ | 2 | D.C. She's a great example and an inspiration to |
| 3 | I now call on our stakeholder group | 3 | a lot of students. And that's from the student |
| 4 | speak. Our first speaker for the evening is from | 4 | side. |
| 5 | Baltimore County Student Council, th | 5 | But the adult and school system side are |
| 6 | 6 Superintendent's Student Advisory Council, Angela | 6 | needed as well. So I'd like to encourage the |
| 7 | Qian. | 7 | Board to examine BCPS building sustainability |
| 8 |  | 8 | practices. |
| 9 | MS. QIAN: Good evening Chairwoma | 9 | I've heard reports that the contents of |
| 10 | Causey, Vice Chairwoman Henn, Superintendent Dr. | 10 | recycling bins in schools across the county end |
| 11 | Williams and student member of the Board Omer and | 11 | up in the trash at the end of the day. Our |
| 12 | the Board of Education | 12 | school system should be leading the charge on |
| 13 | My name is Ange | 13 | sustainability and climate action. |
| 14 | 4 Dulaney. I'm the President of Baltimore County | 14 | Because climate change is a source of |
| 15 | 5 Student Councils this year. BCSC | 15 | great anxiety and importance to the students |
| 16 | 6 leadership camp ran from September 25th to the | 16 | of BCPS, I urge the Board to emphasize this |
| 17 | 27th. It was held over three days in Manchester | 17 | comprehensively and thus put student concerns |
| 18 | and we emphasized a theme of climate activism | 18 | first. |
| 19 | with the slogan "Sea the Problem" spelled s-e-a | 19 | Also, toward our initiative of menta |
| 20 | "Be the Change. | 20 | health awareness. In tandem with Omer, our SMOB, |
| 21 | Students returned to their schools with | 21 | BCSC is hosting a kindness matters summit on |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | October 23rd to 24th for middle and high school |  | hired? So whether it's an additional adult, |
| 2 | students. | 2 | paraeducator, bus driver, lunch assistant, the |
| 3 | And this is an event focused | 3 | hiring process seemingly takes forever. |
| 4 | anti-bullying, on kindness and on self-love | 4 | again, I had met with Maria and she |
| 5 | And another BCSC committee which is the | 5 | helped me to understand that a large part of the |
| 6 | infrastructure committee kind of narrows down | 6 | process was due to the passage in the Maryland |
| 7 | on that mental health awareness aspect and | 7 | General Assembly of House Bill 486 which I hadn't |
| 8 | element and this factor that impacts studen | 8 | heard of and now I am quite well versed. And I |
| 9 | life. | 9 | understand what it actually meant to the hiring |
| 10 | And our final committee is called the | 10 | process and why it isn't as fast as we'd like it |
| 11 | general services committee. And this focuses | 11 | to be. |
| 12 | on improving students' every day lives using | 12 | And I thanked Maria for her time and I |
| 13 | discussions about dress code, technology and | 13 | felt guilty taking it because of all the work |
| 14 | things along | 14 | that this House Bill has added to her department. |
| 15 | gain | 15 | But I shared it with my members and it just gave |
| 16 | put students' issues first and hear what | 16 | us a clearer understanding of the process, things |
| 17 | students have to say. Their opinions a | 17 | that are happening that we often just don't |
| 18 | concerns, their anxieties and thei | 18 | realize. |
| 19 | recommendations | 19 | So I'm going to continue to ask for that |
| 20 | Th | 20 | transparency in information when I contact other |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you very much, | 21 | departments and offices as well. |
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| 1 | Ms. Qian. Our next speaker for this evening is |  | And to be clear, I have gotten answers |
| 2 | the President of the Teacher's Association of | 2 | when I've called or e-mailed and BCPS personnel |
| 3 | Baltimore County, Ms. Cindy Sexton | 3 | has been quick to respond to my questions. |
| 4 | ood evening and welcon | 4 | And I won't pretend that we'll always |
| 5 | S. SEXTON: Good evening Chairwoman | 5 | like each other's answers and explanations, but |
| 6 | Causey, Vice Chair Henn, Dr. Williams and members |  | knowing the process and the reasons why at least |
| 7 | of the Board |  | gives us the information that we haven't always |
| 8 | entimes when something is happenin |  | had in the past. |
| 9 | and we don't like the whatever it is, there's | 9 | So to segue. The two words I'm hearing |
| 10 | great deal of questioning, wondering, and ye | 10 | the most about from teachers are work load and |
| 11 | even complaining, about that taking place | 11 | discipline, discipline and work load. So I |
| 12 | And one of those examples that came up | 12 | reached out to Dr. Zarchin and we are going to |
| 13 | recently was the marriage re-certification for | 13 | set up another meeting with our discipline |
| 14 | that was referenced in a recent e-mail to al | 14 | working action group (skip) remember so we can |
| 15 | employees from the Office of Benefits. So I h | 15 | try to help schools who are struggling the most |
| 16 | a conversation with Maria Lowery and all it took | 16 | with these concerns. |
| 17 | was just a few minutes to understand the why | 17 | And I'm trusting that Dr. Zarchin will |
| 18 | behind that. I shared the information with my | 18 | share information that we might not be aware |
| 19 | members | 19 | that will help us as we confront and work through |
| 20 | So another question I frequenty hear | 20 | the issues so that we can focus on how to make |
| 21 | is: Why does it take so long for somebody to get | 21 | situations better for students' learning |

1 environments and teachers' working environments. 22
I've also reached out to Dr. Boswell and McComas and Dr. Adams so we can have similar discussions with regards to curricular concerns. And this withstood for special ed. I'm going to have lots of questions as I continue to learn these processes.

And, you know, as an elementary librarian, I encourage students to ask questions and then look for answers. It's a common misconception that librarians know all the answers. We just know where to find them.

So that sometimes means reaching out to people. So everybody back there, get ready because I'm going to be reaching out to all of you.

So it's day 69 of my journey and I'm ready to continue to work with all members of team BCPS as we move our profession and our students to new levels and greater successes. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you.
Our next speaker this evening is representing the PTA Council of Baltimore County, Ms. Christina Pumphrey.

Good evening and welcome.
MS. PUMPHREY: Good evening. My name is
Christina Pumphrey, I'm the Central Area Vice
President of PTA Council of Baltimore County. I
am speaking on behalf of our President Jane who is unable to attend this evening due to her religious holiday.

We wanted to express our concern over Lutherville Lab's problems with the cell program moving into the school. We have had many calls and e-mails from concerned parents about injured teachers, fights in the hallways, kids thrown into classrooms to get away from trouble going on and the welfare of everyone in the building.

Jane has been reaching out to help parents network with other parents at other schools who have cell programs but this does not
address the immediacy of the problem.
We have spoken with the Community
Superintendent and we understand the delay that the new laws on background checks cause; however, parents were notified in April that the program was starting. By September there should have been sufficient time to staff the program and train all involved adequately.

If the program was not fully staffed and if all involved were not fully and properly trained, the program should have been delayed.

Our chief concern in PTA is the health and well being of all children and safety for all staff as well as children. The present situation is unsafe for staff, teachers and students and exasperates the emotional needs of the children that this program is meant to help.

We ask on behalf of our membership for the Board to look into this and get back to us with a report.

The next largest number of calls and

While we are well aware of steps being
taken to help with transportation issues and we appreciate the hard work some have done to encourage improvements, parents continue to express concerns that no resolutions have been made to ongoing problems.

Many parents are not seeing any
progress. And, again, safety of students is our main concern.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. (Applause)
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Our next speaker for the evening is from the Special Education
Citizens Advisory Committee, Ms. Megan Stewart-
Sicking.
Good evening and welcome.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. STEWART-SICKING: Chairwoman Causey, | 1 | and so many others. At this time my youngest |
| 2 | Vice Chairwoman Henn, Dr. Williams and members of | 2 | child belongs in his home school, but he also |
| 3 | the Board, good evening to all of | 3 | needs individualized time with a speci |
| 4 | SECAC will be here advocating for | 4 | educator. He has gone from refusing to even look |
| 5 | staffing requests in the budget during Octobe | 5 | at a book to reading out loud to us thanks to the |
| 6 | and tonight I will start as we usually d | 6 | high quality intervention he has received one on |
| 7 | the need for more teachers | 7 | one or in small groups with a special educator. |
| 8 | ant to first reference specifically | 8 | I firmly believe my second grader |
| 9 | birth to 5 services. Most | 9 | wouldn't even be reading at all right now without |
| 10 | research that intervention is more likely to b | 10 | those hours of specialized instruction. |
| 11 | effective when provided earlier in life. We know | 11 | We know what happens when our needs grow |
| 12 | the co | 12 | beyond our resources. We have seen it with our |
| 13 | adaptable | 13 | buildings, our schools fall apart when we don't |
| 14 | connections are the foundation for learning | 14 | keep up with necessary maintenance and upgrades. |
| 15 | behavior and health | 15 | Our schools are too crowded when we don't plan |
| 16 | er time these connections are more | 16 | adequately for growth. |
| 17 | difficu | 17 | We see it in transportation when there |
| 18 | full | 18 | aren't enough drivers to cover the routes. |
| 19 | provide te | 19 | Special education is yet another area where the |
| 20 | direct inter | 20 | needs are larger than the resources. |
| 21 | Our numbers and needs increase annually | 21 | Special education referrals, enrollment |
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| 1 | and children benefit when they receive services | 1 | and needs increase annually at a rate faster than |
| 2 | from speech therapists, OTs, PTs, speci | 2 | our annual staffing rates in the budget. Our |
| 3 | educators and other service providers who help | 3 | Human Resources are too limited and the gaps |
| 4 | close or narrow the gap in their skills before |  | continue to grow. |
| 5 | they get to kindergarten | 5 | ECAC urges this Board to seriously |
| 6 | r schools also benefit which children |  | consider enrollment data, growth data, and our |
| 7 | arrive for kindergarten more ready to learn with |  | current gaps in staffing and to fund the |
| 8 | their peers or with a well developed plan for | 8 | necessary positions requested in the budget. |
| 9 | continued growth |  | Thank you |
| 10 | There are few things we can do of | 10 | (Applause) |
| 11 | greater importance than providing consistent high | 11 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. |
| 12 | quality evidence based interventions for infants, | 12 | And from public comment, we welcome Dr. |
| 13 | toddlers and pre-schooler | 13 | Muhammad Jameel. |
| 14 | In addition, I also want to highligh | 14 | Good evening and welcome. |
| 15 | the need for teachers in our schools, especially | 15 | DR. JAMEEL: Good evening Chair Causey |
| 16 | for those students who remain in their home | 16 | and Dr. Williams and members of the Board. |
| 17 | schools but need outside general education time | 17 | Good evening to you and peace and |
| 18 | with a special educator. We simply are not | 18 | blessings to everyone who's present here. |
| 19 | providing enough special educators for those | 19 | Honesty has become a real commodity |
| 20 | critical hours that some students need | 20 | nowadays and practice of truthiness has been |
| 21 | I have watched this with my own child | 21 | normalized. For those of you who know about |

truthiness, it's a legitimate vocabulary word that means the quality of seeming to be true or being felt to be true even if it's not necessarily true.

The true fact is that the spring break, summer break and winter break were days for the children to assist their parents to sow the fields and then harvest them. Truthiness is that these breaks are now days for vacations and extended religious holidays.

Easter is not one week long. One less day is not any infringement on the rights of Christian Brethren. My wife who happens to be a devout Catholic has never needed more than a few days even for Christmas, let alone a whole week. One day less is not affecting her religious obligations either.

The concept of summer holidays for harvesting the crop is obsolete. One day less is not affecting any farm. It was heartening to know at the last meeting before this Board that
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the teachers do care and revere their religious holidays.

It appears that the Board is expected to cater to them as a priority over all of the students. The truth is that only one minority in BCPS is preferred over all the others. We have never asked for any exceptions, any special treatment or any restrictions in the operations of BCPS.

The entire system is for the students' benefit. Their needs supersede everyone else's. Most of your constituency has been trying to reason with this Board, and this is my 85th plea since the bias actions of the late ' 90 s. We were told that no data existed, nor was ever requested.

There is never a requirement or a prerequisite to have justified that discriminatory decision. The declaration of one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all should not be discarded on the
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heap of truthiness. Please remove this division and discrimination against Muslim students and grant them equal opportunity and equal rights just as the students of the other two Abrahamic faiths are given.

Thank you and God bless you all.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you.
Our next speaker for the evening is Ms. Amanda Graves.

Good evening and welcome.
MS. GRAVES: Good evening.
I wanted to know, when a child has been withdrawn due to fraudulent enrollment and is currently not in any school, we're now in the second month of school and still have not had any
feedback on getting back into school along with it's against the law that a child is not in school, not being educated.

So I want to know how is this right with the Baltimore County Board of Education. I thought that advocacy for education was a
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priority. How is a child wrongfully withdrawn from school with the Board of Education.

This is a situation pertaining to me and my child. And this action is against Wright's
Law. What is the standard or normal timeframe that a situation like this is resolved?

I thought that children's educational needs are what matter in the system, but clearly Baltimore County Board of Education does not follow in that direction or by those guidelines due to the demonstration that has been shown toward my situation.

My child has attended summer school there, even after being withdrawn and I'm being told that I have her wrongfully in the wrong school, the district, and she's been going there for four years.

So I'm trying to find some type of help or, you know, and some type of direction on what
I should do or how to go about this because my child was still not being educated.

## Page 34

That's it. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you.
Our next speaker for the evening is Ms.
Dayana Bergman.
Good evening and welcome.
MS. BERGMAN: Good evening, Board members. Please turn on your listening ears.

The deadline has been extended for October 9th for the applicants to serve on the Superintendent's Teacher and Parent Advisory Council which I think is great. That parents and teachers get to borrow Mr. Williams' listening ears to help make our school district better and improve it with our challenges.

The only thing that I'm a little disappointed is that it's not including all the parents. There's a requirement that if you're a parent you must be either a PTA President or officer. And I think to, you know, work together and make improvements we need to have everybody, every parent participate and have an opportunity
Page 35
to apply.
So, yeah, I haven't been a PTA President in a while, but I still applied anyways because -- and I shared it with everybody, you know, and gave myself some competition. But just because I think it's important for parents to get involved and be involved in every child's education.

The second piece is transportation. Now, I'm in the southwest area. The transportation department has been getting back to us, so that's good news. They are making efforts to communicate with the challenges that they have.

At the same time, I am begging you guys, please for emergency base only, can we please give permission for the attendants on the buses to be able to use a cell phone to communicate? We've got no radios on the buses currently. They're not allowed to use their cell phones. And I understand when they're doing their job they shouldn't be on the phone, but for an emergency reason -- not the drivers driving the
bus, but if there's an attendant to allow them to use the bus -- their cell phone to call for help and assistance, to be able to communicate.

Second piece. The drivers that live out in the community. If you see a bus stopped with the stop sign out, do not pass that bus. You're compromising a child's safety. This continues to be a problem and hopefully we can advocate for some cameras on the bus stops on the sign that goes outside the box to catch people that are breaking the law, to save a life, a child's life.

So the end part is the school calendar. All I'm going to say about the school calendar is I think the focus should be on children and how to provide a calendar that's focused on children and the best way to educate them.

And that is ideally what a school calendar is supposed to be, the best way how we communicate instruction and the best interest of the student to educate them. And I'm a big supporter of year round schedules just because it Page 37
provides consistent education for students. So
we should focus on the calendar and be focused on our children.

So thank you and have a good night.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you.
(Applause)
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: And our next speaker for the evening is Dr. Bash Pharoan.

Good evening and welcome.
DR. PHAROAN: Thank you.
Thank you for the privilege of making me
a member of calendar committee since February of
2004. I'm not old, but that's how old I have been.

So in all the discussions, the priority of the calendar committee is really truly not
what is best of the children. The first priority
is spring break, that's the most important.
Second priority is closure on the Jewish
holidays. Third priority, what do we do if we
have sleet and snow and compensate for it. And
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | equal opportunities in learning, it's more | 1 | MS. DENT: Good evening. Good evening, |
| 2 | 2 important to ensure that each child reach | 2 | Board. |
| 3 | baseline levels in reading and math than to | 3 | I'm a concerned grandparent. And my |
| 4 | expand technology access and programs. It tells | 4 | primary concern is that my grandbaby is a BCPS |
| 5 | us that there's no link between investing in | 5 | student and she was investigated and withdrawn |
| 6 | education technology and improved student | 6 | for fraudulent enrollment. |
| 7 | achievem | 7 | We submitted documentation, MVA records, |
| 8 | addition to this OECD report, I've | 8 | chi |
| 9 | seen a variety of research and reports over the | 9 | lease, recent mai |
| 10 | years that agree that heavy use of technology i | 10 | several times. And then after appealing, we were |
| 11 | schools has no proven benefit for students. | 11 | hoping to receive notification of a hearing, but |
| 12 | This isn't to say that all technology | 12 | instead we received a motion to dismis |
| 13 | should be removed from BCPS. On the contrary, I | 13 | I just wanted to humbly ask if it's |
| 14 | think we'd be foolish to let our students | 14 | possible to request a hearing to review the |
| 15 | graduate with no opportunities to use technology. | 15 | submitted documentation in hopes of getting her |
| 16 | This is simply a call to re-examine, re-evaluate | 16 | back in school |
| 17 | how we're using technology and to what extent | 17 | And if so, would there have to be a new |
| 18 | The STAT program was poorly designed and | 18 | investigation and how long would the process be. |
| 19 | hastily implemented. It essentially force | 19 | Thank you so much for your tim |
| 20 | technology into every aspect of our school. Our | 20 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. |
| 21 | kids even take their devices to gym class, rather | 21 | Our next speaker for the evening is Ms. |
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| 1 | than taking the time to examine in what ways |  | Marie Hoerr. |
| 2 | technology would be useful or helpf | 2 | ood evening and welcome. |
| 3 | I believe the disappointing levels | 3 | MS. HOERR: Good evening. Thank you. |
| 4 | achievement for our students is due in part to | 4 | I am a parent of a Lutherville La |
| 5 | the disruption and distraction of the STAT | 5 | children and I come to you as a parent and the |
| 6 | program and it's time for a change. It's true | 6 | PTA Presiden |
| 7 | that these changes cannot come overnigh | 7 | Ve first found out that we were getting |
| 8 | Current contracts need to be considered, and we |  | a new program in April, and we were wanting to |
| 9 | certainly don't want to throw another radic | 9 | find out more information, which we ended up not |
| 10 | change at our teachers who have endured so much | 10 | really getting which led to a lot of confused |
| 11 | over the past few years | 11 | parents and unfortunately brings us here tonight. |
| 12 | But I urge the schoo | 12 | I started looking into what the SEL |
| 13 | prioritize taking a fresh look at how and how | 13 | program was, because it was coming to our school |
| 14 | much we are using technology to find the righ | 14 | and I wanted to know more information. But I |
| 15 | balance that will help BCPS students b | 15 | have quickly found that as diving deeper, I am |
| 16 | successful. | 16 | concerned about the entire program, not just our |
| 17 | $7$ | 17 | school. |
| 18 | (Applause) | 18 | I'm here tonight asking you to take a |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. Our next | 19 | serious look at the entire program and how it's |
| 20 | speaker for the evening is Lynette Dent. | 20 | being run. How are the students placed, how is |
| 21 | Good evening and welcome. | 21 | the program staffed and what are the |


location of the classrooms in the school and the
access to York Road, concerns about the fact the
hallways outside the classes are high traffic
areas.
We also had questions about having enough teaching as well as instructional and adult supports in place. Time and time again parents were assured and reassured that all of these concerns were heard and that everything would be fine because it was a smoothly run program that was being picked up and moved to our school.
This was not the case. Children have been exposed to fighting among their peers, explicit and vulgar language being screamed at times in the hallway, seeing peers run through the halls attempting to exit the building, and teachers getting struck by students.
This list, these types of events -- the list of these types of events continues, and I know numerous such accounts have been sent to
many of you.
Had school administration or the various
BCPS representatives at parent meetings even listened to -- (microphone muted)
(Applause)
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Our next speaker for this evening is Chelsey Cooper.
Good evening and welcome.
MS. COOPER: Good evening Chairwoman Causey. Good to see you again, Dr. Williams, and it's nice to meet all of you face to face finally after many communications via e-mail.
I'm here in support of the parents that you've heard just now. I am also a parent of a student at Lutherville Lab. I'm also an attorney with the Federal Government and so this forum is very familiar to me.
I'm -- I've written a lot of e-mails. I've given a lot of details. You all have heard and seen and borne witness to the many egregious events that are taking place in the elementary
school.

I don't know what more we could possibly

Page 51
school say to get someone to do something immediately. I have met with numerous people on this issue and each one of my encounters has informed more and more problems with this SEL program, particularly our school is in severe non-compliance with this program.

There is understaffing, there is undertraining. There was a rush to move students in from all over with IEPs that had not been reviewed. There is no safety plan with the school to address the new population.

And as a result, we are floundering. Teachers are leaving the school. We have one teacher who has already taken a leave of absence because she has been assaulted.

And we have students as young as
kindergarten witnessing, as you've heard, vulgar language and violence among their peers.

What's more is that we do not have a
Page 53
staff that is trained to do anything about it.
No one in the school is trained in physical
passive restraint for the age groups that are
comprised of this program so that when something
happens, the leaders of the school stand around and watch.

So I challenge you, I'm here and I'm asking for something to be done immediately. Last Thursday or Tuesday, Dr. Williams and I spoke on this issue and I said make this the number one priority. And I'm asking you all to make this a number one priority tonight.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you.
(Applause)
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Our next speaker for the evening is Krystal Krause.

Good evening and welcome.
MS. KRAUSE: Hello. Good evening,
Board. My name is Krystal Krause and my daughter is a student at Lutherville Lab Elementary.
and community supporting it. We have a strong
diverse and compassionate community that loves
all of the children in the school and want to see
them do their best.

Sadly, this year is very different. I don't even want to send my daughter to school because I am worried about her safety. My 4 -year-old is going to kindergarten next year and at this moment in the state of the school, I don't want to send her there.

I'm looking to all of you to do something to improve the situation. Children should feel safe in their own school. Parents should want to send their children to their schools.

The last few weeks of Lutherville Lab have been riddled with aggressive events, lock-downs, elopements and emergency services called to the school.

Teachers have been hit and choked. I witnessed four events in one hour when I was there to volunteer. I fear more events occur that we aren't even aware of. The more I got involved, the more I learned how poorly researched, poorly communicated and delinquently implemented the new SEL program has been from the start.

I'm here today because I believe the new SEL program at our school is extremely unsafe and disruptive to the entire school process. I believe the program at our school is not the appropriate or safe setting for the SEL students either. It does not provide the safeguards or least restrictive educational setting for either group.

I was horrified to know a similar program already existed at Cromwell Elementary School with the same problems for years and yet they moved it over to our school with 16 known students. BCPS decided to enlarge the size of
the program, provide less training, less support and less behavioral specialists.

You've known this program, at least our school, was coming since April. Even more alarmingly, BCPS started this program without a finished de-escalation room and dangerously placed known, violent, aggressive, emotionally disturbed students in the middle of our school next to the youngest and most vulnerable kindergarten students.

It's also placed in the highest student traffic area with multiple elopement exits. The goal is to provide educational resources to students with significant behavioral, emotionally disturbed children.

These children are not zoned for our school and goes directly against the idea of inclusion in their home school. I fear BCPS puts all of these students together to financially save money and to put behavioral resources together.
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As a pediatric nurse, I feel putting
more aggressive and emotionally disturbed
students in one setting only makes it more
upsetting, unsafe and restrictive to those
students.
We are not the only school. BCPS
implemented the same program at Franklin
Elementary. This is a universal problem.
There's not enough resources. BCPS has knowingly
jeopardized the safety and education of all
children at Lutherville Lab and I'm looking to
all of you to do something about it.

## (Applause)

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you.
Also this evening as part of public comment we had sign-in sheets for public comment on proposed changes to policies.

And this evening we are seeking input on Policy 6702, Instruction Extra-Curricular
activities and we had one stakeholder sign up and that's Ms. Dayana Bergman.

|  | Page 58 |  | Page 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. BERGMAN: Good evening. Reviewing |  | include the rest of the community in that process |
| 2 | the policy for 6702 for the Extra-Curricular | 2 | of making that decision, whether it's the |
| 3 | Activities, there's just one little section on | 3 | students, the parents, community leaders, just |
| 4 | the policy that I want to see added, which is | 4 | the school community is all, to make everybody |
| 5 | under three standards. Under B it says Principal | 5 | part of the process. |
| 6 | will ensure that parents and students are | 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | notified of various extra-curricular activities | 7 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. |
| 8 | available at the school | 8 | That concludes our public comment |
| 9 | I want to make sure that if possible the | 9 | portion |
| 10 | consideration of providing written notification | 10 | Our next item is Item H |
| 11 | to the policy. There's a lot of -- it doesn't | 11 | Superintendent's report. And for that we call on |
| 12 | have to be an actual paper, it could be | 12 | Dr. Williams. |
| 13 | communicated through text some of our schools | 13 | DR. WILLIAMS: So good evening, |
| 14 | use. | 14 | everyone. |
| 15 | But those extra-curricular activities | 15 | I can hardly believe that it is my 100th |
| 16 | that the students have an opportunity to, | 16 | day with BCPS. During these first months, I have |
| 17 | sometimes our students don't tell the parent | 17 | already had a chance to visit 60 or more schools |
| 18 | that it's going on and sometimes we don't get the | 18 | and meet with many members of the BCPS family. |
| 19 | call that it's available | 19 | BCPS leaders are busy preparing my |
| 20 |  | 20 | 100-day entry plan with a focus on the three |
| 21 | to the students, but they don't communicate back. | 21 | goals that I set for myself, strategic |
|  | Page 59 |  | Page 61 |
| 1 | So if there's a way to actually add to this | 1 | leadership, relationship building and |
| 2 | policy that written form will be communicated as | 2 | professional development. |
| 3 | notification to the parents | 3 | The plan will make recommendations for |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you | 4 | our next steps based on feedback from our work |
| 5 | There was also available a speaker |  | groups which included students, parents, staff |
| 6 | sign-in sheet for public comment on proposed | 6 | and community members. So stay tuned for the |
| 7 | changes to Policy 7530, new construction |  | release of the 100-day entry plan in the coming |
| 8 | occupying, naming an area of a school | 8 | weeks. |
| 9 | And signed up is Ms. Bergman | 9 | Also, by the time I bring this |
| 10 | MS. BERGMAN: So for Policy 7530 on the | 10 | Superintendent report next month, my first series |
| 11 | process for naming or renaming an area of the | 11 | of community conversations will have concluded. |
| 12 | school or its grounds, the only thing that I see | 12 | I still invited community members to come out and |
| 13 | with this policy is where's the student, parent | 13 | let me know what matters to you mos |
| 14 | and community involvement? Do they have a say? | 14 | You will find the dates and location at |
| 15 | It's not mentioned in the policy whatsoever if | 15 | BCPS.org. I look forward to seeing you there. |
| 16 | they want to contribute as being part of that | 16 | I feel like my mouth is moving -- can |
| 17 | community and that school to either name a | 17 | you all hear me. I apologize. I am struggling |
| 18 | certain area of the school or the grounds in | 18 | with a head cold today. |
| 19 | honor or somebody that has provided commitment to | 19 | During the first 100 days, it's been a |
| 20 | education at that local school | 20 | wonderful -- it has been extremely wonderful to |
| 21 | So I would like the opportunity to | 21 | get to know our principals. So I do want to |

```
recognize our principals because it is National
Principals Month, by thanking our principals for
their leadership and dedication.
On that same token, it is my great
pleasure to congratulate Pinewood Elementary
School for being named a National Blue Ribbon
School in the category of exemplary high
achieving school. Pinewood is the 22nd BCPS
school to earn this honor due to the hard work
and strong community developed by Principal
Rutter, her staff, her students and families.
And last week, BCPS kicked off the annual Kindness Matters Month. Each week students are learning about ways to prevent bullying, culminating in Bullying Prevention Week from October 21st through the 25th. Every member of our community has the opportunity and the responsibility to demonstrate care and compassion. And I encourage all of us to carry those value forward with us for the entire school year.
```

That concludes my report.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. The next
item on the agenda is the Chair report. And I
would like to start out this evening
congratulating Dr. Williams on reaching his 100th day.

With a schedule like his, I'm sure his time at BCPS has been a whirlwind and he will only get busier as the soon to be formed Superintendent's Parent Advisory Council and Superintendent's Teacher Advisory Council start meeting on a quarterly basis. The deadline for applying to serve on either Council is tomorrow, October 9th, and the requirements to serve on each Council are posted on BCPS.org.

The weeks since our last meeting have been a whirlwind for Board members as well. On September 20th, Dr. Williams and a number of Board members attended the official ribbon cutting for the Watershed Charter School.

We had an opportunity to tour the school
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and the grounds, including the garden and the chicken coop. It was a beautiful day.

On Thursday, September 26th, a number of Board members attended the TABCO ESPBC Town Hall at Parkville High School. And then we headed to
Randallstown for a meet and greet with Dr.
Williams that was sponsored by the NAACP, the
BCABSE and the Northwest Voice. The meet and
greet was very well attended and quite a few
people waited to speak to Dr. Williams after the event.

On Friday, September 27th, as Dr.
Williams mentioned, Pinewood Elementary School
was named a 2019 National Blue Ribbon School by
the U.S. Department of Education. The National
Blue Ribbon School can be honored on the basis of
high achievement or significant improvement and
Pinewood was selected in the category of
exemplary high achieving school.
In 2018, more than 84 percent of
students in grades 3, 4 and 5 met or exceeded
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expectations in both reading and math. In
addition, the teachers and staff members sponsor
22 extra-curricular activities and clubs to
reinforce students connections to school.
We would like to offer our sincerest congratulations to Principal Rutter and the
students, teachers, staff and families at Pinewood on a job well done.

Next, on Wednesday, October 2nd, a number of Board members joined Dr. Williams at the ribbon cutting ceremony for the newly constructed and enlarged Dundalk Elementary School which replaced the old school that was built in 1926.

The new school's footprint adds almost 100,000 square feet and that provides an additional 150 seats to help relieve overcrowding in the southeast area.

We wish Principal Michael Parker, his
students, teachers, staff and parents much
success in their beautiful new building. That

```
same day, Board members and Dr. Williams headed
to Annapolis for the first day of a three day
Maryland Association Boards of Education
Conference. Shawn McComb, a current Assistant
Principal here at Pleasant Plains Elementary
School and the 2014 Maryland and National Teacher
of the Year was the keynote speaker whose
eloquent words reminded us that all kids need
someone in their lives on whom they can count and
trust.
```

His words set the tone for what turned out to be a jammed packed informative three days. I'm thrilled to be able to report additionally that the County Executive, Olszewski, Jr. released 16 million dollars in funding for the County's portion of the Health Schools Grant which will provide the electrical upgrades needed to six schools, (inaudible) package unit air conditioning to four schools, chillers to two schools and a boiler for one school.

The Health Schools Grant from the State
provided another 13.5 million dollars to support these efforts to provide temporary air conditioning to six of the eight remaining schools that are not air conditioned.

We appreciate the efforts of Governor Hogan and the County Executive in finding this additional funding.

Additionally, Custodian Appreciation Day was October 2nd and we'd like to offer a belated thanks to our wonderful BCPS custodians and building operations supervisors. Your efforts allow our schools to keep opening their doors and welcoming our students day in and day out.

Also, for National Principal Month, we recognize the efforts and the -- and we appreciate all that they -- the Principals do for our students and for the staffs.

Finally, as Dr. Williams mentioned, please remember that October is BCPS Kindness Matters Month. As the singer Aaron Neville once said, every day some act of kindness comes my
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way, even if it's just someone opening the door.
It happens every day if you keep an eye out for it.

Keeping an eye out for it, that is the key.

So thank you, and that is my report.
And next up we have the Student Board
Member's report, Mr. Omer Reshid.
MR. RESHID: Good evening.
During the month of September I had many opportunities to interact with students. I visited Sparrows Point Middle and High where I met and spoke with students informally in the cafeteria during lunch shifts. I was very impressed with the overall conversations of the students for both the middle and high school.

I heard some of the same issues about cafeteria food options, transportation and cell phones. I am working with the Community Superintendents to plan more school visits within the coming weeks.

On September 20th, I had the chance to
attend the ribbon cutting ceremony for Watershed
Public Charter School and learn how to feed their
4 chickens which each had names given to them by
students but I unfortunately don't remember their names right now.

I am so excited for this opportunity for our students to learn these skills differently than a regular classroom. I also served on staff for the BCSC fall leadership camp where student leaders from all over the District attended. We were honored to have our very own Superintendent, Dr. Williams, Community Superintendents and administrators from schools in attendance.

They came to collaborate, plan and listen to the students from their schools.

Last week along with Board members we attended the annual May conference and got to learn a lot from it on various topics.

Finally, I want to remind everyone that October is Kindness Matters Month and we want all

|  | Page 70 |  | Page 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 schools to participate in activities to promote | 1 | MALE: Thursday, red for respect. |
| 2 | 2 this within each school. I would like to end my | 2 | FEMALE: Friday, purple for |
| 3 | report with our Kindness Matters video we made | 3 | positivity. |
| 4 | 4 with our BCPS students about the importance of | 4 | MALE: And that's not all. We want |
| 5 | 5 creating a culture of kindness in our community | 5 | everyone to sign a pledge against bullying. |
| 6 | 6 and schoo | 6 | it, |
| 7 | It starts with you and it ends with us | 7 | Kindness Matter |
| 8 | \#BCPS Be Kind | 8 | GROUP |
| 9 | ank you. | 9 | MALE: And what else? |
| 10 | (Video playing) | 10 | FEMALE: All week schools and students |
| 11 | MALE: Hey, BCPS, do you know the | 11 | will have the option of how to participate. |
| 12 | importance of Kindness Matters? | 12 | Maybe your school will have a day devoted to |
| 13 | 3 Maybe you held the door for someone. | 13 | making new friends. |
| 14 | FEMALE: Maybe you listened to a friend | 14 | MALE: Maybe a day to take a selfie |
| 15 | 5 about a problem | 15 | with a new friend. |
| 16 | 6 MALE: We want everyone to support each | 16 | FEMALE: Perhaps create a positive |
| 17 | other in positive way | 17 | music video. |
| 18 | FEMALE: Being a counter bully can | 18 | EMALE: And all week \#BCPS be kind. |
| 19 | impact students | 19 | Send us your positive, powerful and profound |
| 20 | GROUP: We the students of Baltimore | 20 | tweets. |
| 21 | County -- | 21 | Lets stop the negative words and |
|  | Page 71 |  | Page 73 |
| 1 | FEMALE: Announce BCPS Kindness Matters |  | images found on social media. |
| 2 | Month which takes place throughout Octobe | 2 | FEMALE: And replace them with |
| 3 | MALE: Kindness Matters because everyone | 3 | positive ones |
| 4 | deserves to feel great and do well in school | 4 | ALE: Post positive words and |
| 5 | Here's what we're doing this yea |  | pictures on Twitter again at \#BCPS Be Kind. |
| 6 | We're celebrating all month. During the | 6 | EMALE: Then tag five people and ask |
| 7 | first week of October we'll make sure that we | 7 | them to do the same. |
| 8 | understand bullying. | 8 | MALE: Let's make sure that everyon |
| 9 | FEMALE: During the second week we'll | 9 | knows Kindness Matters in BCPS and across the |
| 10 | discuss how to be an up-stander instead of | 10 | County. |
| 11 | bystander. | 11 | GROUP: Kindness Matters. |
| 12 | 2 MALE: And then we'll talk about | 12 | Don't be a bystander, be an |
| 13 | 3 preventing cyber bullying | 13 | up-stander. \#BCPS Be Kind. It starts with |
| 14 | 4 FEMALE: And finally we get to Kindness | 14 | you, ends with us. |
| 15 | Matters Week, from October 21st to 25th. Each | 15 | (Applause) |
| 16 | day we want you to show how Kindness Matters. | 16 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: That was awesome. |
| 17 | 7 MALE: By wearing a different color | 17 | Thank you very much. |
| 18 | shirt and focusing on a new word of the day | 18 | Our next item on the agenda is Item K |
| 19 | 9 Monday, BCPS blue | 19 | new business, action taken in closed session |
| 20 | MALE: Tuesday, green for equity | 20 | And for that we call on Mr. Bruce Aides for |
| 21 | FEMALE: Wednesday, orange for unity. | 21 | consideration of action taken in closed session. |


|  | Page 74 |  | Page 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Good evening. | 1 | raise your hand. |
| 2 | MR. AIDES: Earlier this evening the | 2 | Any opposed? |
| 3 | Board met in its quasi-judicial capacity to | 3 | The Motion carries. |
| 4 | consider an appeal in a confidential employee | 4 | MS. HENN: Madam Chair -- |
| 5 | matter. | 5 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn? |
| 6 | At this time it would be appropriate to | 6 | MS. HENN: I believe Staff were going to |
| 7 | confirm the action taken in closed session in | 7 | return item L4. Dr. Williams, would you like to |
| 8 | that matter which is Hearing Examiner 19-31. | 8 | comment on that, to the Board for a future |
| 9 | HAIRMAN CAUSEY: Do I have a motion to | 9 | agenda? |
| 10 | approve the action taken in closed session? | 10 | Mr. Smith. |
| 11 | MS. ROWE: Make a motion | 11 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Good evening, Mr. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Ms. Rowe. | 12 | Smith. Thank you. |
| 13 | Do I have a second? | 13 | MR. SMITH: Members of the Board. |
| 14 | MR. OFFERMAN: Second. | 14 | This item from discussion from the committee will |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Mr. | 15 | be coming back to Dr. Williams for additional |
| 16 | Offerman. Is there any discussion? | 16 | information that was requested that we could not |
| 17 | All in favor, please raise your hand | 17 | get together before tonight before this -- when |
| 18 | Any opposed? | 18 | we met earlier tonight. So we're going to bring |
| 19 | Any abstentions? | 19 | it back to Dr. Williams and we'll pick a time |
| 20 | Thank you. The Motion carries. Thank | 20 | that is going to be appropriate to bring it |
| 21 | you. | 21 | forward. |
|  | Page 75 |  | Page 77 |
| 1 | Our next item on the agenda is Item L, | 1 | So we're just removing it after the |
| 2 | new business contracts. For that I call on Ms. | 2 | discussion. |
| 3 | Henn. | 3 | MS. HENN: Thank you, Mr. Smith. |
| 4 | MS. HENN: Thank you. Members of the | 4 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you very much. |
| 5 | Board, the Board's Building and Contract | 5 | Our next item on the agenda is Item M , |
| 6 | Committee met earlier this evening. Items L1 | 6 | new business, privately funded capital project |
| 7 | through L3 and L5 through L7 are being forwarded | 7 | and for that we call on Ms. Beyers. Good |
| 8 | to the full Board for approval | 8 | evening. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Do I have a Motion to | 9 | MS. BEYERS: Hi. Good evening, Chair |
| 10 | approve the items? Please state those again | 10 | Causey, Vice Chair Henn, Superintendent Williams, |
| 11 | MS. HENN: L1 through L3 and L5 through | 11 | Members of the Board. |
| 12 | L7. | 12 | Tonight I'm bringing forward for |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Do I have a Motion to | 13 | approval a privately funded capital project for |
| 14 | approve items L1 through L3 and L5 through L7? | 14 | the George Washington Carver Center for Arts and |
| 15 | . ROWE: So move | 15 | Technology. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Ms. Rowe. | 16 | This project is for the delivery, |
| 17 | No second is needed since the recommendation | 17 | assembly and installation of bleachers for their |
| 18 | comes from the committee. Is there any | 18 | stadium. The total cost of this project which is |
| 19 | discussion? | 19 | reflected in the quote that you have from Dant |
| 20 | (No response) | 20 | Clayton Corporation is \$17,660. Part of this |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: All in favor, please | 21 | project is being funded through the Carver |


|  | Page 78 |  | Page 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Foundation. | 1 | recommendation of the Board's Policy Review |
| 2 | They've donated \$10,000 to this project. | 2 | Committee? |
| 3 | And then the remaining funds are coming from | 3 | MS. ROWE: Motion. |
| 4 | donations from graduating classes as well as the | 4 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Ms. Rowe. |
| 5 | athletic department | 5 | No second is needed since the |
| 6 | In accordance with Policy and Rule 7330, | 6 | recommendation comes from the Committee. |
| 7 | this has gone through all of our normal internal | 7 | Is there any discussion? |
| 8 | processes for review | 8 | (No response) |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. Do I hav | 9 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Hearing none. All in |
| 10 | a motion to approve the George Washington Carver | 10 | favor, please raise your hand. |
| 11 | Center -- thank you. | 11 | (All raise hand) |
| 12 | Do I have a Motion to approve the George | 12 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. The Motion |
| 13 | Washington Carve Center for the Arts and | 13 | carries unanimously. |
| 14 | Technology bleachers project? | 14 | The next item on our agenda tonight is |
| 15 | SS. HENN: I'd make a motion. | 15 | Item O, Report on the Proposed 2020-2021 school |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn. Do I have a | 16 | calendar. |
| 17 | second. | 17 | For that we call forward Mr. Duke. At |
| 18 | MR. MCMILLION: Second | 18 | the September 24th meeting, Board meeting, the |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. McMillion. Is | 19 | Board directed Staff to prepare a proposed |
| 20 | there any discussion? | 20 | 2020-2021 school calendar with a post-Labor Day |
| 21 | (No response) | 21 | opening for the Board's consideration. |
|  | Page 79 |  | Page 81 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: All in favor, please | 1 | Additionally, the public hearing on both |
| 2 | raise your hand | 2 | proposed '20-'21 school calendars pre and |
| 3 | (All raise hands) | 3 | post-Labor Day will be rescheduled to take place |
| 4 | Thank you. The Motion carrie | 4 | at the October 22nd, 2019 Board meeting with the |
| 5 | unanimously. |  | vote following at the November 5th, 2019 Board |
| 6 | MS. BEYERS: Thank you | 6 | meeting. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Our next item on the | 7 | Good evening, Mr. Duke. |
| 8 | agenda is Item N , unfinished business, Board of | 8 | MR. DUKE: Good evening, Madam Chair, |
| 9 | Education Policies | 9 | Vice Chair Henn, Dr. Williams and Members of the |
| 10 | Members of the Board, the Policy Review | 10 | Board. |
| 11 | Committee asks that the Board accept this report | 11 | As you stated, at the Board's last |
| 12 | of the Committee's recommendation to amend the | 12 | meeting on September 24th, I presented a proposed |
| 13 | following Board policies. | 13 | calendar for the '20'21 school year with a |
| 14 | Policy 1600 Public Charter Schools, | 14 | pre-Labor Day start date. |
| 15 | Policy 5120, Attendance and Excuses; Policy 6102, | 15 | At the Board's request I forwarded a post- |
| 16 | Teaching of Controversial Issues; Policy 6200, | 16 | Labor Day calendar for its consideration. |
| 17 | School Libraries. | 17 | This evening I briefly will discuss that proposal |
| 18 | These recommendations are presented to | 18 | in comparison to the calendar previously |
| 19 | you on tonight's agenda as Exhibit N and have | 19 | submitted. |
| 20 | been available on Board do | 20 | As I mentioned at the last meeting, |
| 21 | Do I have a Motion to adopt the | 21 | there are certain parameters established by MSDE |

that must be followed in a calendar's
development. These are shown on this slide as a refresher for the Board.

Both proposals adhere to these guidelines.

Similarly, both calendars take into account and incorporate the BCPS directed closures as well as the State mandated school holidays. As you will note, however, the total number of school closures for students differs between the post-Labor Day calendar and the pre-Labor Day calendar. This is due to the shortened spring break in the post-Labor Day calendar.

Whereas the pre-Labor Day calendar has a ten calendar day spring break, the post-Labor Day calendar has a break of five calendar days which are comprised of the Thursday and Friday before Easter, the weekend and the Monday after Easter.

This slide provides you with a comparison of key days between the pre and post
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Day calendars, Labor Day calendars.
Should no emergency closures be needed in the post-Labor Day calendar, the last day for students would be June 15th, 2020. Should five closures occur, the last day for school would be June 22nd.

For your information, the slide's third column provides you with key dates for a post-Labor Day calendar with a full ten calendar day spring break.

In this scenario should no emergency closures be needed, the last day for students would be June 21st, 2020. And should five closures occur, the last day of school would be June 28th.

The need for more than five emergency closure days potentially could push the school year beyond June 28th.

The next slide provides you with some historical information relative to past calendars. It shows start dates, emergency
closure days, planned and used, and the number of delayed openings and early releases that occurred.

As you will note, starting the school
year prior to Labor Day is not new for BCPS. The
post-Labor Day start was the result of a 2016
Gubernatorial Executive Order. Recent
Legislation, however, overrode that order and
again allows School Boards to decide when to start and end the school year.

I also would invite your attention to the third and fourth columns of the chart which lists the days built into the calendars, into past calendars and the number of days actually utilized.

Those entries in red indicate those years when we used more days than were allocated. I would also direct your attention to the column showing the number of delayed openings and early releases. These hours also come into play when calculating closure days. For example, if you
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look at the '14-'15 school year, you will see that there were 10 two-hour delayed openings along with one, one-hour early release, one two hour early release and one three-hour early release for a total of 26 hours or four days.

For that school year, those four days were added to the six closures used for a total of 10 emergency closures that occurred in that school year.

The next slide provides you with a chart comparing the key characteristics of the calendar options. All calendars have five emergency closure days built in and are based on the school year of 182 student days, 190 teacher days, 1,161.5 elementary student contact hours and 1,180 middle school and high school student contact hours.

As Madam Chair stated, public comment is scheduled for October 22nd, and I will return to you on November 5th for the Board's decision and vote. This concludes my presentation.

|  | Page 86 |  | Page 88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I'll be happy to answer any questions. | 1 | What happens if we have more than three |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Board members, are | 2 | emergency closure days? |
| 3 | there questions or comments? Ms. Henn? | 3 | MR. DUKE: We have to extend the school |
| 4 | MS. HENN: Thank you, Ms. Causey | 4 | year. |
| 5 | r. Duke, this is very helpful. Thank | 5 | BOARD MEMBER: So for -- |
| 6 | you for this information, particularly the dat | 6 | MR. DUKE: If we fall below the 180 |
| 7 | on emergency closure days and usage. This is the | 7 | required days, then we would have to go ahead and |
| 8 | first time I've seen this | 8 | extend the school year. |
| 9 | And it's very useful the way it's broke | 9 | You're referring to our current calendar |
| 10 | out in this chart. Do we have this data readily | 10 | or -- oh, the proposed post-Labor Day? |
| 11 | available for years prior to the school year | 11 | OARD MEMBER: The proposed, yes. |
| 12 | '11-'12? Is that something that the Board could | 12 | MR. DUKE: Yes. That is based on 182 |
| 13 | receive, | 13 | days. So additionally -- we have two student |
| 14 | MR DUK | 14 | days that we add into the calendar basically to |
| 15 | MS. HENN: It's spotty? | 15 | accommodate or provide a buffer for any delayed |
| 16 | MR. DUKE: Yeah. I can go back further | 16 | openings and early releases that we may have from |
| 17 | and try to recompose it, but I don't | 17 | an hours |
| 18 | as complete as the ones that I've provided to | 18 | But if we go beyond -- if we fall below |
| 19 | you. | 19 | the 180 days, then we have to extend the school |
| 20 | That was basically eight years. And the | 20 | year. |
| 21 | average number of emergency closures was 5.5 | 21 | BOARD MEMBER: Thank you. |
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| 1 | during those eight years. |  | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. McMillion. |
| 2 | And as you would note, at one | 2 | MR. MCMILLION: Good evening. |
| 3 | were incorporating seven days into the calendars | 3 | MR. DUKE: Good evening. |
| 4 | as emergency closures | 4 | MR. MCMILLION: We've discussed the |
| 5 | MS. HENN: Whatever is available, |  | public comments is going to be October 22nd. And |
| 6 | would like to -- if Dr. Williams is willing to | 6 | we've already started to receive a lot of e-mails |
| 7 | share that with the Board, if it's not too muc | 7 | either for or against pre or post-Labor Day |
| 8 | effort to receive what is available. |  | starts. |
| 9 | ink that would be useful to have | 9 | I'd like to discuss the possibility |
| 10 | additional data points when considering past data | 10 | doing an on-line survey that would give people |
| 11 | beyond the eight years, if it is available | 11 | the option to pick one or the other with a couple |
| 12 | DR. WILLIAMS: We can explore that | 12 | of variations as long as we could secure that so |
| 13 | Are you looking for particular trends? | 13 | that other people couldn't -- so that somebody |
| 14 | NN: | 14 | couldn't go on there and do it some ridiculous |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, | 15 | number of times. |
| 16 | Williams. Ms. (Inaudible) | 16 | Is that an option available to us? |
| 17 | BOARD MEMBER: Thank you, Mr. Duke. | 17 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Dr. Williams, is there |
| 18 | y question is, I'm looking at th | 18 | Staff that would be able to assist with answering |
| 19 | emergency closure days and you have three built | 19 | questions related to survey possibilities? |
| 20 | in days. But I look at the previous years and | 20 | DR. WILLIAMS: So can someone give me |
| 21 | you have an average of seven. | 21 | the history as to what happened this past May or |


| June where there was an on-line survey and I don't know if that helped the situation or not. <br> CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn or -- <br> DR. WILLIAMS: So I'm really questioning the security or just what you're asking, how to gather that information to make it secure. <br> But I know prior to my arrival there was a discussion and there was an actual on-line survey. <br> CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn can speak to that, and then maybe Staff can clarify. <br> MS. HENN: Sure. So the on-line survey that was made available last year was open to all respondents and there was no limit on the number of times any individual could take it. <br> So individuals could, as Mr. McMillion said, complete the survey multiple times. There was no limit, for instance, based on IP address or any other limitation. <br> So the complaint was that individuals could complete it multiple times and affect the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 | only respond using the link in that e-mail once. <br> So that way it would not be an internet <br> link that would be across all of the internet, you would have to get an e-mail and then respond within the e-mail, in the body of the e-mail and it couldn't be tampered with that way. <br> CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Is there Staff <br> available or are these questions that we -- the Board can get answers to because we can compile all the questions in our discussion and then perhaps have Staff reply to the Board. <br> DR. WILLIAMS: So I would have to explore this with Staff. My concern is the system tried to do this last year and we had these complications. So it's the expectation of Staff to develop what you're asking in the midst of everything else. So I don't particularly know what the constraints or barriers were last year. <br> And the Staff that would know best might be in the room, might not be in the room. I don't want to put somebody on the spot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| survey results. <br> CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Rowe. <br> MS. ROWE: So I think the other issue with that survey too was also the fact that once it was released on the internet and social media attempting to encourage Baltimore County residents to take the survey, part of the issue with that survey was that it was then shared across the state by the Governor. <br> So we're attempting to figure out what people in Baltimore County wanted, but we ended up with a lot of Respondents from across the entire state. <br> And what I was wondering is if there's a way since we have an extensive e-mail database of all of our constituents, if it would be possible to secure the survey link within an e-mail that would -- where the coding would understand that you're clicking the link out of the e-mail so that it's coded to the e-mail, so that for each e-mail sent soliciting a survey response, you can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Sure. Sure. <br> DR. WILLIAMS: But I just think what <br> you're asking for will require some investigation. <br> MR. DUKE: And time. <br> DR. WILLIAMS: And with this timeframe I don't know how quickly they can develop that. I'm just concerned about what we lived through a year ago by having a survey that the Staff here probably created and there were some complications. <br> Now our attempt to try to do it again with -- under this tight timeline to try to have an October 22nd public comment and a vote by November 5th, I don't know if that will give you the information. <br> But since Staff joined the table -- <br> CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Yes, good evening. Thank you, Dr. Monique Wheatley-Phillip and Mr. Duke again and Mr. Cortes. Thank you. <br> And just to give a little background, |
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Page 90
only respond using the link in that e-mail once.
So that way it would not be an internet
link that would be across all of the internet, you would have to get an e-mail and then respond within the e-mail, in the body of the e-mail and it couldn't be tampered with that way.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Is there Staff available or are these questions that we -- the Board can get answers to because we can compile all the questions in our discussion and then perhaps have Staff reply to the Board.

DR. WILLIAMS: So I would have to explore this with Staff. My concern is the system tried to do this last year and we had these complications. So it's the expectation of Staff to develop what you're asking in the midst of everything else. So I don't particularly know what the constraints or barriers were last year.

And the Staff that would know best might be in the room, might not be in the room. I don't want to put somebody on the spot.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Sure. Sure.
DR. WILLIAMS: But I just think what
you're asking for will require some
investigation.
MR. DUKE: And time.
DR. WILLIAMS: And with this timeframe I
don't know how quickly they can develop that.
I'm just concerned about what we lived through a
year ago by having a survey that the Staff here
probably created and there were some complications.

Now our attempt to try to do it again with -- under this tight timeline to try to have an October 22nd public comment and a vote by
November 5th, I don't know if that will give you the information.

But since Staff joined the table --
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Yes, good evening.
Thank you, Dr. Monique Wheatley-Phillip and Mr.
Duke again and Mr. Cortes. Thank you.
And just to give a little background,
the Board is very interested in hearing from our constituents as Ms. Rowe pointed out. Especially we have teachers for whom the calendar and the schedule is very important in terms of how they set up their year to provide excellent instruction for our children.

But also the impact on professional development days and also the impact on their schedules outside of the school year.

So when we get e-mails from folks saying that they prefer pre-Labor Day and post-Labor Day if, in fact, they don't have the full information that Mr. Duke and his staff prepared for us, here's what a pre-Labor Day start means from start to finish, and here's what a post-Labor Day start calendar means from start to finish, then the input is not as helpful as it could be.

So, especially considering we have, as Ms. Rowe pointed out, e-mails for our teachers, our staff or administrators, we also have e-mail contacts for parents or guardians for our
students that maybe there's some way to limit input to those people for whom we want to make sure this calendar works optimally.

MS. WHEATLEY-PHILLIP: So good evening.
And we apologize for having, filing conversations trying to figure out the best way to provide a response to the Board.

I think some of the concerns with a survey piece was really looking at ways within which we can make sure that a survey provides access to all of our parents. Particularly with the previous survey, we were only able to create that survey using one primary language, and we know that across our school system we have parents for whom their first language consists of languages other than English.

The other piece was really looking at the IP piece in terms of if you have multiple families that live in one home or folks that work in different offices, if they choose to complete the survey at work and were using that IP
address, it then limits anyone else from being able to administer or complete the survey at the same time.

Regarding the e-mail piece. That would be something new that we would have to explore, and that's why I was speaking with Mr. Cortes to find out, you know, what would be some of the ways within which we would be able to access that.

Because there is a tight turn-around in terms of trying to build a survey, we have to think about the questions we're asking, we have to think about ways within which we're going to collect responses, we've shared as part of the Policy review that open ended questions are not the best way to code and put those together because they really are very time intensive.

So if we're looking at a multiple choice question, we have to think about the questions we're asking. We have to consider folks that would be taking the survey, what languages would

So it really isn't a process within
which you can turn around quickly if we want to
have a very reliable, and we want to have an
accurate process that really allows most of our stakeholders to be able to participate in the process.

What I'd like to do is ask Mr. Cortes if he has some information regarding the use of the e-mail addresses, because that would be a different lens that we have not used in the past.

MR. CORTES: So the limiting factor that we would have is the idea of the database of e-mail addresses we would have for parents, we're about -- in the -- I don't want to give a hard number, but we're in between 20 and 50,000 e-mail addresses collected for all parents in BCPS. So, given that we have 115,000 students, that is less than half of our parents have actively provided us with an e-mail address.

So immediately we would discount over 50

```
percent of our parents from receiving or being
able to participate in this e-mail.
All of our staff members would have
e-mail, and that would be an easy distribution
internally. The IP limitation that Dr.
Wheatley-Phillip was speaking about, for example,
Baltimore County Public Schools itself represents
on the internet less than 20 IP addresses. So
if we were to limit it to one IP address answer,
all -- about 150,000 devices we have currently in
the system if we were to ask all students and all
teachers to participate, we would probably get
about 20 responses before that would be limited.
    And Ms. Henn can speak to network
address translation, keeping the internet running
from IP address numbers.
    So what I can say is, seeing the raw
data coming out of DRAA, there had been work done
to limit the number of responses that were seen
from multiple IP addresses, to find anomalies and
rule them out.
```

But currently if we were to go and say
we want to limit to only who could receive an
e-mail, that's going to dramatically decrease the
number of parents that we could access.
If we were to limit it by IP address,
that poses another limitation or restriction in a
public facing survey of this nature that we would
want to gain as much stakeholder input. But
given those factors, if that were something that
the Board were to accept those -- what word am I
missing? Yeah, those limitations or those
assumptions about the responses that you would
get, then that would be a framework that we would
have to build within.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. Are there
additional questions and comments?
MS. JOSE: You kind of answered my
question. One was we could have used the BCPS1,
the splash page which has access to all parents,
including me, which is password protected.
But that again brings in the equity
issue where a lot of parents don't access that.
So how do you -- there will just be a limited set
of parents like me that would have access to serving.

So it is not going to be inclusive of everybody. And given the short timeframe, I just think it's not a very feasible option at this
time unless you just hearing you guys, I don't
think it's a very feasible option.
There is the language barrier as well.
We have to consider that. There are a lot of people that do not speak English, especially the
parents. So given that, the timeframe, I don't
think it's a very -- it's do-able, unless you guys can do it.

But for me the equity is a huge issue. How do parents that do not have access to e-mails and the BCPS1 splash page address or participate in the survey.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn and then Mr. King.

Page 101
MS. HENN: Thank you.
It sounds like, based on what I'm
hearing, though, we can limit it to attempts or responses by IP address. Am I understanding that correctly?

MR. CORTES: Uh-huh.
MS. HENN: So while it wouldn't be perfect, that is a solution that many throughout last year when we did this to say, yes, somebody could complete the survey from multiple locations, multiple browsers. You know, there are ways around that, but it would limit the number of attempts so that someone couldn't complete it 500 times but they could possibly complete it twice.

So we would be able to limit the number of attempts per individual.

As far as language barriers, there are third-party translation tools that individuals could use as a work-around to being able to access the site and still being able to

```
participate without the burden on staff having to
do that translation and making the survey
available, natively translating the page in
multiple languages.
Are those two statements accurate in terms of work-arounds?
MR. CORTES: The only addressing of another issue that Ms. Rowe brought up is we don't have a limitation on where those IP addresses are coming from. So distribution statewide is still possible.
And quite honestly, we captured IP address on the last survey, so we could theoretically, if that was the directive, to simply go back and kind of massage that data out to say, you know, any time you see X number of responses from one IP address, discount that lot.
That's something that is feasible right now with data currently collected.
```

MS. WHEATLEY-PHILLIP: The only other piece regarding the language is that if a

Page 103
parent's primary language is Spanish, for
example, and they're completing the survey at home, to have to go through a third-person party to be able to then have them read the survey to them for them to respond, I would have to talk with the staff regarding those branches in terms of the survey, how those pieces would work.

My wondering is, given the short timeframe that we have, would we be able to do that. Because that is a branching of the initial question to then have someone translate it and have that connection come back to then be able to record the response.

So we'd have to take a look at that with the staff in terms of the feasibility of that being completed within a short timeframe?

MS. HENN: Right. In terms of using an in browser tool to be able to view that page through a translator.

MS. WHEATLEY-PHILLIP: Right. It would have to be set up as part of the survey itself,
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1 and we would have to look at that. I'd have to talk to the staff.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. Kuehn.
MR. KUEHN: Can Mr. Offerman go before me?

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Certainly.
MR. OFFERMAN: Yes. I want to repeat Ms. Jose's statement about the concern over the issues for those parents and those guardians who don't have usual typical access.

I think we're going to get a very slanted view of this, and ask them to go to third party. I think we're talking about a four-week limit in terms of getting information out and in and somehow analyzed.

So I don't think this is the way to go. I do want to point out that we have seven elected members on the Board from Districts. We have four appointed. You know, while I think the input is important and I certainly read every e-mail that gets sent my way, I also, you know, think that we have the ability, particularly as educators and people who are very interested in education to make informed decisions about what's best.

Best for students, you know, best for families. So I don't think this is the way that we ought to go at this point.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. Kuehn?
MR. KUEHN: So we went through this last year. I was just curious. Does anybody recall like how many responses we actually got?

MS. WHEATLEY-PHILLIP: I don't have that information right now.

MR. KUEHN: But it was a lot less than 115,000, right?

MR. CORNS: I wouldn't even want to wager a guess, Mr. Kuehn, without asking the question.

MR. KUEHN: So I believe we have limited numbers of folks and there might have been some people that really wanted to, you know, increase
the number and fill it out multiple times.
I would suggest since we're kind of putting you on the spot right at this moment that we would give you time, if we do want to follow some kind of a public input survey, to kind of come back with us for possible solutions next meeting.

And the only other thing I'd like to throw out there is the automatic telephone system that calls with updates and what have you. That might be another avenue that we use to inform people about this, because I get those regularly, depending on if the bus is late or if something is happening at the various schools that one of my children might be at.

There could be the possibility of actually just reaching out to people and informing them this is happening. I don't know what, if any, IBR capability we have, do we have the ability to also like select 1,2 or 3 depending on what it is that people think.

So we may want to consider that.
That's all. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: I wanted to make a point. Yes. Go ahead. No, go ahead Ms. Pasteur.

MS. PASTEUR: Thank you so much.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Uh-huh.
MS. PASTEUR: First I want to thank you,
Mr. Duke, for coming in tonight with two post-
Labor Day options as we asked at the last meeting.

But aside from that -- there's no but.
Aside from that or along with that, what you also did was broke it down so that anyone who looked at the three options could see the number of days for spring break and where it would put us in terms of the end of the year if we're looking at extra days, having to make up days.

And it really was a nightmare in my brain when I thought about what would happen if we picked that third option, because I could see
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it. And we went beyond the three or so days that you gave, we would be in school until July, or pretty close to it.

But my point here, and it really is a but this time, is that the visual in and of itself was very helpful. So all of the things about which we have spoken in terms of how we can hear from parents, we do have a public session and we can have 9,462 people or 115,000 or whatever it is, parents here on the 22nd. But what will be helpful to them is knowing the options that you've put out.

So I go along with, I think is what Mr. Offerman and maybe even Ms. Jose were pointing to, is that it's really critical for people to
see what the options are, and that is something that happened last year and you've done that.

So I would simply like to see us put those options on the website and wherever else people look to find out what's going on in the magnificent Baltimore County Public Schools, because I would probably not answer my phone if I got that.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Ms. Pasteur.

So I had a question and a comment. So we do receive e-mails at the Board in terms of people's preferences. As Mr. McMillion I think pointed out, we're starting to get e-mails that say post-Labor Day start or pre-Labor Day start.
But that's not complete information for the Board because do we know if those folks have seen the actual options and what it means from start to finish that Mr. Duke and his team have worked very diligently on and we appreciate those efforts.

And I hear the concerns about the
limitations of who we will reach and who will reach back to us.

But I think we may be overlooking the fact that we're going to get information through e-mail. There are people already talking. We've
received an e-mail about doing petitions and
having those sent to the Board.

And I think that we're -- we have an opportunity to clearly say these are the options. This is a very different year than previous years because we do have the flexibility to decide when to start school. We do have the very late start of Labor Day, and we do have the issues of needing enough inclement weather days.

So I think that it would be more helpful to have organized and clear information that comes to the Board rather than what we receive which might be, you know, 600 lengthy e-mails where it's not necessarily based on the specific information.

So -- and I understand about limiting who gives input, but right now we're open to disorganized information from we don't know what constituents as opposed to having an organized opportunity, and even if it's just for our staff to be able to say these are the three options,
what they actually mean, and in terms of the data collection like you said, Ms. Phillips, Dr. Phillips, we don't want open-ended questions. We don't want information that's not helpful.

We want information that is accurate and helpful, and I understand we would like everyone to participate. But it seems as if we don't do a survey, we have no organized information as opposed to potentially having, as Mr. Cortes pointed out, up to 50,000 parents with direct e-mail addresses and our employees and staff.

So I would just ask us to consider and I think it was Mr. Kuehn that made the point of not this evening, obviously we don't want to put you on the spot and solve a problem here, but to come back with what is possible, especially related to our teachers and schoolhouse staff.

We have a teacher retention issue. If this is an issue that's vitally important to teachers, then let's hear from our teachers. Let's hear with their full knowledge of what the
options are, what is going to -- what do they
feel is going to make them most effective in the coming year.

So I -- that's what I would ask the
Board to consider, and I would ask Dr. Williams to consider. There are other questions, I know, and I have some other questions, but we'll move around the dais.

Can I have Ms. Scott participate and

MS. SCOTT: Thank you.
Along with it, I would just ask -- you
were talking about like survey or an e-mail
and issues with equity as far as, you know, everyone doesn't have an e-mail account.

Would there be something also maybe that we could place on the website where we can direct people there like if they don't have an e-mail. Like maybe posting something on the website showing the survey and then maybe also doing something through our Facebook, BCPS on Facebook
and Twitter directing people to the website where they would just fill it out there so if you don't
have an e-mail but you have social media you can
still participate in the survey. Is that an
option?
MR. OFFERMAN: But then anyone, literally anyone can kind of --

MS. SCOTT: It sounds like we're kind of doing anyone now. That's what I'm saying. Because it sounds like right now there's no IP --

MR. OFFERMAN: So what I'm saying is, is the data we get valid if we don't know where it's -- where it's coming from. And it still doesn't address (microphone off) There are people -excuse me.

There are people who don't have access to technology to the degree that I think we're assuming they have.

MS. SCOTT: A lot of people.
MR. OFFERMAN: And they're basically the disenfranchised, okay, people within the system.

But I really firmly believe that as a group, you know, with phone call, e-mail and other ways we can access people's opinion. It doesn't mean we have to -- is this going to be a if we get a majority win situation? Because if it is, I want to have 150,000 parental voters as well as teacher voters.

And I don't think, given the fact that we're going to vote on this in 29 days, that if we bring back --

MS. SCOTT: Well, that's what I was asking. What's the feasibility of even doing something like this.

MR. OFFERMAN: Right.
MS. SCOTT: Is this something that can be turned around in such a short time period? Page 115
How feasible is that with all the things that we're saying, making sure that there's not repeat
IP addresses and things like that. Within the amount of time that we have, what is the feasibility of that?

MS. WHEATLEY-PHILLIP: I will say
honestly within 29 days it will be very
difficult. I will have to talk with the Staff
about it and we'll certainly provide Dr. Williams with the information.

But just considering the options that have been presented so far in terms of building the survey, it will take a considerable amount of time and I will have to speak with the Staff about it.

MS. SCOTT: Okay. I think that's then what we should be looking at discussing. How feasible is it? Is it something that can be cohesively and correctly done in the short amount of time period that we have.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. And Dr.
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Williams wanted to make a comment.
DR. WILLIAMS: So looking at the
timeline where we have October 22nd as public
comment, it may be an opportunity -- I heard a
recommendation to make sure all three calendars are posted.

And then we have our own stakeholder groups who come every Board meeting and present.
So we can ask our stakeholders -- because remember, there were stakeholders on the committee that provided feedback.

But maybe we can look at hearing on October 22nd, you hearing from the stakeholders. You've got TABCO, you've got CASE, look at all our different stakeholders so you've got information so then by November 5th you will have some representation of the different stakeholders and community groups, where they stand regarding the calendar.

I would say I'm very concerned to say to Staff to go forth now and develop a survey, to figure out how to disseminate that information in multiple languages, to then have that information back by October 22nd. I appreciate their willingness to go back and work with Staff, but looking at the totality of what needs to happen in addition to all of the other things that we're working on, I'll give you a heads up.

My 100-day entry plan coming forth, recommendation for a strategic plan, a multiple year strategic plan, I would just -- I appreciate their willingness and flexibility, it's just the timing of this right now.

But that's why I propose is there a way in which we can get our stakeholder groups, those who sign up, those who normally come, to actually provide some public comments to the Board so at least you have an awareness of the various stakeholders, what their opinions may be.

But I will also say, there was a committee made up of a variety of stakeholders that led to one recommendation. The last meeting
we were asked to go back, which we did, as you see today, to provide different options.

But keep in mind we're -- this year we're fighting against this timeframe that a decision has to be made by November 5th.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you for that, Dr. Williams.

And what I see is people that still want to comment and I have other comments in a completely different vein. But Ms. Jose, did you still have comments and then Ms. Henn and then Ms. Rowe?

MS. JOSE: I think Dr. Williams addressed my comment. I wanted to inform the Board that the calendar committee was comprised of different stakeholders and they represented their communities and they made their recommendation to the Board so that not one group is marginalized.

I think after the public hearing the Board should have enough information to make an
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informed decision. I like Ms. Causey's point
about the teachers.
I think that is also critical to see
where the teachers stand with the pre and post-Labor Day start dates. I have received e-mail from teachers for both post and pre. So it's, again, hard to make that decision based on those e-mails alone, at least for me.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn and then Ms. Rowe and then I have a question for Staff.

MS. HENN: Sure. I'm thinking about from a practical standpoint if we can't, you know, less is more -- if we can't graphically represent the survey in having both or three options side by side what we do now in terms of translation and three radio buttons, which do you prefer. Something that simple to, again, reach as many individuals as possible.

We're not talking open ended response or, you know, anything fancier than that. But to
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give, these are the options. They can see it graphically, literally a calendar on the page.
Choose your preference and a submit button.
So in terms of visually what, at least what I'm envisioning something that would cross those language barriers that could be implemented without a lot of extra work on Staff in terms of translation. Something like that might meet what we're trying to do. So I just wanted to share that idea.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Rowe?
MS. ROWE: So respectfully to all of the rest of the Board members, I think we've made this whole entire thing way too complicated. A lot of us are elected. It's our job to figure out what our constituents want.

And trying to make the perfect survey or the perfect scientific poll and get them to do it is effectively not doing our job.

I think that what would help us collect information from our constituents in the easiest
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way possible is if you would represent the three
calendars in a graphic that could be shared
across social media that, label each option A, B and C and then all my constituents can be happy to tell me on my Facebook page which one they like and engage there.

And I will figure out where they come from and how much weight to put on that decision based on the feedback I get from my constituents
because this is like -- if I -- let's just do that.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: So you have a lot of input there.

I have a different question. So for the
Monday after Easter, is there any flexibility or is it already incorporated into the calendar to use that for closure?

MR. DUKE: No. No holidays in any of the proposals have been earmarked as potential emergency closure days.

In order for us to use President's Day,

for example, or Easter Monday, there would have to be a need. In other words, we would have to have a closure and then we would have to go into the State and request the State Board to grant a

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. So in none of the current plans is there possible use or potential use of the President's Day or any of the holidays; is that correct?

MR. DUKE: Correct.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: And then what happens if -- to the calendar and our inclement weather issues if we get the funding for the 15 minutes extra a day. Does that improve our situation in terms of the early dismissals, the late mornings that add up to those hours that we need?

MR. DUKE: From an hour perspective, yes. But it still does not impact the minimum number of days that we have to have students in their seats. And that's 180 days.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: 180 days. Okay. Thank

And is it typically, with the chart that you arranged for us, is it typical that we have more of an issue with the days or we have more of an issue with the hours?

MR. DUKE: Lately we've run into

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. A combination of both. Okay.

And then the other question I had is, we are improving, as I mentioned in my Board Chair comments. We received additional funding from both the State and the County to provide temporary cooling solutions for those schools that don't have air conditioning.

Do we know what the timeline is now that we have the funding to implement that solution? My concern is that with school opening sooner rather than later, there is more of a potential of those schools having to be closed.

This year, unfortunately, we had to
close schools twice. The year before we had to close schools three days for lack of air conditioning. So in terms of providing safe and healthy equitable education across the district, I was wondering if we had an estimate for that.

MR. SMITH: Madam Chair?
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Yes, Mr. Smith.
MR. SMITH: We're still working with the State who's finalizing what the piece is going to be with that component as well as with our county funding agents. They clearly have identified those dollars.

Now we have to put together the actual design and the implementation for each of those. We're working on those, but we don't have anything to submit to the Superintendent at this day, but we'll continue to get that as soon as we have it.

But we just, at this time with how it is still unfolding with the State as it relates to what the criteria will be exactly and the interpretation, we're just not at that point yet where we can release a date yet.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. So we're not in a position to be certain that those schools are going to open with air conditioning.

MR. SMITH: Correct.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. Great. Well, I appreciate that response.

And I appreciate all the work that our facilities and construction folks are doing. We have made tremendous stride in the last four and five years in creating equitable learning environments for all of our teachers and our students, so we appreciate that.

Board members, are there additional questions or comments related to different

Mr. Kuehn?
MR. KUEHN. I just had one question. For the BCPS directed closure days, when schools are closed for students, are we required

| Page 126 |  | Page 128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to have professional development for teachers by |  | equitable. |
| contract or some sort? I'm just curious | 2 | And I think if we're going to say we're |
| MR. DUKE: No, there's no requirement | 3 | going to have professional study days on one |
| MR. KUEHN: Okay. Thank | 4 | religious holiday but we're going to make the |
| HAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. Offerman? | 5 | other days possible, we can take it away, I don't |
| R. OFFERMAN: Is it appropriate to make | 6 | think that's fair. And I don't think that's |
| a motion at this point |  | equitable. |
| HAIRMAN CAUSEY: Umm | 8 | And I think the Board needs to make a |
| R. OFFERMAN: Concerning the use of the | 9 | decision, each person, about how they |
| religious associated professional development | 10 | that. |
| days from being included -- for being used | 11 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. |
| makeup days? | 12 | Additional comments or questions, Mr. McMillion? |
| CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: I think that would be | 13 | MR. MCMILLION: Mr. Duke, I was always |
| appropriate at this | 14 | under the impression that there was an agreement |
| R. | 15 | between TABCO and Baltimore County Public Schools |
| to keep the professional development days tha | 16 | about professional study days. Am I inaccurate |
| are assoc | 17 | about that? There's no arrangement on how many |
| excluded from being used as makeup day | 18 | days that you agreed to to provide teachers with |
| inclement weather | 19 | professional training? |
| MS. ROWE: Second | 20 | MR. DUKE: |
| CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Ms. Rowe. | 21 | MR. MCMILLION: Okay. Thank you. |
| Page 127 |  | Page 129 |
| Is there discussion? | 1 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. Kuehn? |
| Kueh | 2 | MR. KUEHN: So why are we forcing |
| MR. KUEHN: The only question I have is, |  | teachers to go to school on those days for |
| how many times have we lost that day and for -- | 4 | professional development? Why are they just not |
| in essence lost the holiday? |  | straight days off? |
| $\text { IKF } \cdot \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ |  | R. DUKE: Well, |
| R. KUEHN: Just one time? |  | considerations that we have to take into accoun |
| MS. SCOTT: But in fairness, we only |  | is that by contract teachers work 190 days. So |
| ever gave them a professional development day |  | that's one consid |
| once to begin with | 10 | And if I'm not mistaken, the guidance |
| MR. KUEHN: Right | 11 | that was provided by the Board was that we would |
| MS. SCOTT: In the past we've given the | 12 | go ahead and develop the calendar, this year |
| Jewish holidays as a complete holiday, not even a | 13 | calendar with those days as non-student days. |
| PD day, and the Muslim holidays have gotten | 14 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Is there any more |
| absolutely nothing. | 15 | discussion on Mr. Offerman's motion? |
| MR. OFFERMAN: Absolutely. Right. I | 16 | Mr. Offerman, would you restate your |
| just think, you know, as I said two weeks ago | 17 | motion? |
| that this is something we ought to do because | 18 | MR. OFFERMAN: Certainly. Hold on just |
| it's the right thing to do. | 19 | a second. |
| If the Board is going to model fairness | 20 | no |
| and equity, then I think we need to be fair and | 21 | development days associated with religious |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | holidays excluded from being used as makeup days | 1 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: All of those in favor |
| 2 | for inclement weather. | 2 | of Mr. Offerman's motion, please raise your hand. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. Any more | 3 | (All raise hand) |
| 4 | discussion? | 4 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. The motion |
| 5 | Mr. Kuehn? | 5 | carries unanimousl |
| 6 | MR. KUEHN: If we support this motion, | 6 | Dr. Williams, the Staff would consider |
| 7 | are we tying our hands to just make them straight | 7 | that as they implement the calendar and how it's |
| 8 | holidays instead of professional days at all? | 8 | designated, if there was any designation that it |
| 9 | MR. OFFERMAN: No. | 9 | could be taken for inclement weather day if that |
| 10 | MR. KUEHN: That's my only question | 10 | could be modified on the documents that are |
| 11 | MR. OFFERMAN: I don't believe that's | 11 | posted on Board docs. |
| 12 | part of the motion, or has anything in the | 12 | DR. WILLIAMS: So we've had several |
| 13 | motion | 13 | discussions. I just need to know, what are the |
| 14 | MR. KUEHN: | 14 | next steps regarding the three calendars, the |
| 15 | keep them | 15 | options, |
| 16 | MR. OFFERMAN: No, I said | 16 | MR. OFFERMAN: Let's find that out. |
| 17 | MR KUEHN. That's what the motion - | 17 | DR. WILLIAMS: There seems to be -- we |
| 18 | that's m | 18 | just need clarity. |
| 19 | MR. OFFERMAN: Maybe you're righ | 19 | And, again, I just want to caution about |
| 20 | That is w | 20 | the timeframe. I think the desire to get the |
| 21 | MS. PASTEUR: Mr. Kuehn, I think what he | 21 | voice is important. But at this point I'm just |
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| 1 | means is they could not be converted to being |  | concerned, as I probably raised the last time, |
| 2 | school days | 2 | about trying to make a -- trying to inform the |
| 3 | MR. KUEHN: I fully understand that. | 3 | Board so the Board can make a decision by the |
| 4 | I just wanted -- if we wanted to go the extra | 4 | meeting of November 5th |
| 5 | step -- | 5 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Rowe? |
| 6 | MR. OFFERMAN: I'll repeat it again. | 6 | MS. ROWE: So, I mean, I don't know how |
| 7 | I move to keep the professional development days | 7 | the rest of the Board feels, but after that |
| 8 | excluded from being used as makeup days for | 8 | lengthy survey conversation, for me personally, I |
| 9 | inclement weather. I did not say anything about |  | just want a graphic that represents the three |
| 10 | how many professional development days or if we | 10 | calendars simply enough to distribute to the |
| 11 | don't have any development days. I said in this | 11 | public so they can get back to us. They can be |
| 12 | calendar, in this situation, I'm asking, okay -- | 12 | put on the BCPS website and distribute the |
| 13 | I'm asking the Board to make a decision on | 13 | calendar options in as simply forms as possible, |
| 14 | whether we should -- whether we should have one | 14 | labeled A, B and C. |
| 15 | of the holidays associated with a specific | 15 | So that when people give feedback to us, |
| 16 | religion be treated different than any other | 16 | I know exactly what it is, which one they like. |
| 17 | holidays | 17 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Pasteur. |
| 18 | That's what I'm asking | 18 | MS. PASTEUR: Okay. Just very quickly. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you for that | 19 | Dr. Wheatley-Phillip just said, I'm sure she just |
| 20 | clarification. Is there any other discussion? | 20 | said that it would be very difficult to reach out |
| 21 | (No response) | 21 | and come up with a way first and then reach out |

```
that?
```

to all of these people. Did you not just say

MS. WHEATLEY-PHILLIP: I did.
MS. PASTEUR: So that having been said, I support -- I said it, Ms. Rowe said it as well, that the graphics are wonderful. They have already been done. Put them out there. Facebook, web page. We will do our jobs as School Board members on top of that and make excellence happen.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Henn?
MS. HENN: Well, as several Board members have said, I think it's important that we collect teacher input because of our teacher retention issues.

So I will move that we collect teacher input specifically as to which calendar is preferred by designing a survey that can be e-mails, since we do have e-mails for all of our teachers, to capture one response per teacher in BCPS, asking them which calendar they prefer and for that data to be aggregated and provided to the Board.

Ms. Causey, do you want to ask for a second?

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Is there a second?
I'll second it. And I see Ms. Sexton in the back. Would you like to make a comment?

MS. SEXTON: Yes, please.
We already have a plan in place to survey our members. We're going to get our building reps to see what the members in their buildings want. We are not going to survey each individual members, that's why we have building reps to be able to do that.

In the buildings that don't have a building rep currently, there are seven schools, we have a plan to go in and survey those members as well, with the calendar options.

We should have them at our rep assembly on October 24th, we're going to bring it to the whole rep assembly and if there's a consensus we
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will take a stand and we can share that
information with you. But we already have that in the works.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: So you'll be able to
utilize the calendar options that Mr. Duke
presented this evening.
MS. SEXTON: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: That sounds wonderful.
So when you said the 24th, October 24th.
MS. SEXTON: We have our rep assembly
that afternoon, yes. So I can have that
information the next day to the Board.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. That sounds
great. Thank you so much.
MS. HENN: So we have a motion on the floor.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Yes, we have a motion on the floor.

MS. HENN: That you've seconded.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: That I've seconded. So to process the motion I would say that since our Page 137
TABCO president is going to be collecting the teacher data for us and being able to submit that to the Board, that I would be interested in withdrawing the motion.

MS. HENN: You withdraw your second?
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: I withdraw my second.
MS. HENN: I'll withdraw my motion.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. So that motion is withdrawn.

Board members, are there other comments or questions?
(No response)
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. Thank you for
all of that discussion and all of the work of the
Staff. I just want to make another reminder that public comment on the proposed school calendar will now take place during the October 22nd, 2019 Board meeting.

For those not able to attend, comments for consideration may be sent to the Board by hard copy to the Board office or via e-mail at

```
boe@bcps.org. And all of the documents that we
discussed this evening are on our on-line Board
docs.
Our next item on the agenda is Item P, New Business. Report on Water Testing. And for that we'll ask Mr. Smith and Mr. Dixit to come forward to present the report.
Following the presentation, allowing time for discussion if the Board so desires.
Good evening.
MR. SMITH: Chairman Causey, Vice Chair Henn, Dr. Williams and members of the Board. I am going to turn this over to our more than capable team of Mr. Pete Dixit and Mr. Paul Taylor to give an update on the water testing that has taken place. This is an ad hoc committee of a member of your Board, Ms. Moalie Jose who is a part and they have been working with us to make sure that we are following this testing, as well as Ms. Scott and other members of the Board.
But we wanted to make sure you guys knew
```
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that we're following up on this and this is
merely just an update that the Superintendent had asked us to bring forward.

With that being said, I will turn it over to Mr. Dixit and Mr. Taylor.

MR. DIXIT: Good evening. Good evening Chair Ms. Causey, Vice Chair Ms. Henn, Superintendent Dr. Williams and members of the Board. My name is Pete Dixit and with me is Paul Taylor who's the Director of Facilities Support Services.

And tonight we are going to provide an informational update on lead in water testing.

Before I ask Mr. Taylor to present the details of the program, I would just like to share a quick snapshot of the findings to date. I just want to remind the Board that bottled water has been provided to all schools.

All of the schools that have been tested or that are being tested, bottled waters had already been provided. All sampling was
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1 completed prior to school year closing last year. All 157 buildings that we have, all of the sampling was completed.

All of the testing results have been posted on the BCPS website. And also just to share this and I know Mr. Taylor is going to present the details. Of the 6975 fixtures, 95 percent of the fixtures tested in compliance with the latest regulation. The remaining 388 fixtures that are not within the limit of the regulation were immediately disabled as soon as we found out that they are not.

And all of the fixtures, 335 of the 380 fixtures, have already been replaced and they will go through the same protocol of resampling and retesting.

And everything, all of the sampling of the remaining fixtures is projected to be completed by December and then re-tested by spring some time.

So this was a quick snapshot that I just
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wanted you to have this. And I wanted to thank
Ms. Moalie Jose for providing all of the help and guidance and asking some questions and Ms. Makeda
Scott for sharing some of her concerns.
So with that, I pass to Mr. Taylor.
MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Dixit.
Good evening Chair Causey, Vice Chair Henn and Superintendent Williams.

In May we presented to the Board an overview of our water testing program, and today I'm providing a status update on that program.

Our water testing program was implemented to comply with the 2018 Maryland Regulation. This Regulation requires all schools receiving water from a municipal supplier to test for lead in all devices that could potentially be used for drinking water.

As Mr. Dixit mentioned, we
successfully completed the water sampling during
the school year of 2018-2019 as required by the regulation.


Page 142
failed fixtures out of the 6,985 tested.
Most of the failed devices have been
replaced. The replacement and resampling phase
of the program is anticipated to be complete by
April, 2020. And bottled water continues to be provided to all the schools during the process.

So that concludes the overview and I'm
happy to answer any questions.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Scott and then Mr.

MS. SCOTT: Thank you very much for that
informative information and for answering my
questions and for putting all the information up on the BCPS website.

So I just had a few questions, if you would bear with me.

I was looking through the list, and I
have questions about six schools in particular
that had lead levels reaching up to 1,000 parts
per billion. I know that you said the State
regulated action level is 20 parts per billion.

And I was just a little concerned that
1,000 seemed like a very high number. And I just
wanted to ask the status of those fixtures or
faucets at those schools where we were and the --
one of them, I can start with Deer Park Magnet
Middle that's 1,150 parts per billion. So that's
like 58 percent over the State regulated action level.

Has that been replaced or where are we with that?

MR. TAYLOR: I can check the schools that you mentioned.

MS. SCOTT: I was really concerned about the elementary schools like Edmondson Heights
Elementary School that's 1,280 parts per billion,
Powhatan Elementary School that's 1,300 parts per
billion, Kenwood High School, it looks like it's
1,680 parts per billion and Owings Mills High
School that's 1,290 parts per billion.
So when I was looking at it, I noticed it seemed like the schools that had these high
numbers like 1,000 were in mostly the northwest or the southeast region. So I wanted to know if there was going to be additional testing to maybe the pipes or some other fixtures to see if after the water is initially retested if additional testing needs to happen for those areas.

I know it's like a countywide issue, but the numbers were like 20 or 40 or 50 . But 1,000, numbers of 1,000 parts per billion seemed concentrated to one area or one region of the County, rather.

So I just wanted to know if we were going to maybe spend some more time delving into that.

MR. DIXIT: While Mr. Taylor is looking at all of the details, let me just respond to your question in general.

What we have found, the test is for individual fixture. So while we may have sporadic instances of one fixture being too high, all of the remaining fixtures are okay.
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So that kind of leads us to the conclusion that there's nothing wrong with the piping, it is the fixture. And the test itself is designed for that. So we are not testing piping or anything, we are testing fixtures.

So that's the first part of the answer. The second is, our intent was even before we started testing, we made sure that all of the schools had bottled water. So there were no health concerns right from the beginning.

As soon as we found that there was higher than 20 parts per billion, it doesn't matter whether it was 25 or it was 1,100 , we immediately disabled it.

MS. SCOTT: Okay.
MR. DIXIT: And most of the fixtures, he's looking at the specific, but all of them have been replaced except 35 fixtures throughout the system, out of 3,500 something.

MS. SCOTT: Do you have any reason why it was -- because I looked at all of the schools
and all of the fixtures. And the only high
numbers that were in the thousands were in a certain region and in a certain area.

Do you know or have any sort of idea why that would be in that region of the county?

MR. DIXIT: When I took this question to our team, they said there are a few and they are scattered all over the system. There may be a few more in certain parts but they are all over the system.

And it has to do with the manufacturing process for that fixture. And even when we look at the manufacturer, the same manufacturer may have one with high level and all the other 50 or 60 within the limit. So it is totally a random process from what we can see.

MS. SCOTT: So once these are updated and everything, when will the website be updated with the current information? Because right now it says pending.

MR. DIXIT: That's a different piece of
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information. Go ahead and explain it.
MR. TAYLOR: The website right now does
not have information about the replacement program. It just has the information about the initial sampling. So the pending there was about the results. It was not about the replacements.

MS. SCOTT: When will the replacements be, will that be put up?

MR. TAYLOR: Once we have the results -we're just starting the sampling now and it could take four weeks to actually start seeing the results of that sampling on the replaced fixtures.

MS. SCOTT: Okay.
MR. TAYLOR: So I've checked the -- we identified five in that category of a thousand or more, out of the 6,000 fixtures, five of them did test over 1,000. Edmondson Heights, that's already been replaced. Powhatan has been replaced. Kenwood has been replaced and I think Deer Park has been replaced. We have not

| replaced the ones in Owings Mills yet. <br> MS. SCOTT: Do you know when that will be replaced? <br> MR. TAYLOR: I don't have an exact schedule. We're trying to do it as efficiently as possible. We're doing groups of schools at a time and going around the entire county in different places. We're not doing one area and then another, we're just going all over the county. |
| :---: |
|  |  |

MS. SCOTT: But I was just saying because 1,000 seems high. That seems like it should have a little bit more urgency.

MR. TAYLOR: Well, it's off.
MR. DIXIT: It's off. So nobody is using it.

MS. SCOTT: I know it's off, but I'm saying to replace it.

MR. TAYLOR: And they have been replaced. Before it's been replaced, it's off so it can't emanate any water for anyone to drink.

And then it will -- once they're replaced, they're not turned on again until they're tested.

MS. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. Kuehn, were you next?

MR. KUEHN: Yes. Thank you for this information.

So the question I have is just so I can understand what was included on our Board docs. You have a lead in water update; right, which is very good. Thank you.

Just so that I understand, of -- it says below action level and that means that if there's a number like say Arbutus Elementary School is the top one, so -- it's the first one there.

And there are 23. So if I'm reading this, it's telling me 23 fixtures were tested and were below 20 parts per billion.

MR. DIXIT: That's correct.
MR. KUEHN: And there may be 100 water sources in the school, but 23 tested that were
below and then two tested as elevated, meaning above 20.

MR. DIXIT: That's true.
MR. KUEHN: Right?
And then here you're saying, all right, fixture replacement status completed. So my understanding is of the two, you've replaced them. They're not back in service yet because you need to test them.

MR. DIXIT: We need to resample them. And once that part is done, then we need to retest them.

So there's a sampling protocol and then retest.

MR. KUEHN: So you sample and then retest.

MR. DIXIT: So sample -- go ahead.
MR. TAYLOR: We take a sample from the device, and then we have to send that out to a lab to be tested. So there's some time in between those two steps.
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MR. KUEHN: One sample is the test?
MR. DIXIT: No. That sample is sent for testing.

MR. KUEHN: Exactly. You're testing the water you sampled.

MR. DIXIT: Yeah.
MR. KUEHN: I just want to make sure it's not two pieces. Okay. All right.

So I guess as I'm looking at this, there are varying numbers that are under 20 parts per billion.

And you stated that you weren't seeing like certain manufacturers having more or less issues outside of -- so if fixture A was made by company A, it's kind of random. You're not finding that there's like, you know, company X that we should definitely stop buying from because it's just lead everywhere; right?

MR. DIXIT: We did not find any trend by companies or models or by schools.

MR. KUEHN: All right. Thank you very

|  | Page 154 |  | Page 156 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | much. | 1 | that concerns me is that lead is known to cause |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: I had a question. | 2 | behavioral and learning disabilities, |
| 3 | If you could, and I know that this is a robust | 3 | particularly in children more than adults. |
| 4 | part of the program. If you could just spend a | 4 | hat's |
| 5 | little time in terms of what communication was | 5 | considered safe. We all know that through years |
| 6 | sent to parents of schools where findings | 6 | of research. |
| 7 | happened. | 7 | The current action level for lead is 20 |
| 8 | MR. DIXIT: Do you want to talk about | 8 | parts per billion. And thank you for sharing the |
| 9 | that? | 9 | other data for me. So anything that was below 20 |
| 10 | MR. TAYLOR: Yes | 10 | parts per billion essentially cleared and is |
| 11 | On the website, the BCPS website there | 11 | considered okay. |
| 12 | is a link. And it identifies the notice that | 12 | EPA's action level for treatment of lead |
| 13 | gets sent out. There's one for every schoo | 13 | in water is 5 parts per billion. And having |
| 14 | And that's exactly what the Principals use to | 14 | discussed this with you, I actually have a motion |
| 15 | send out to -- communication to the community. | 15 | that I'm going to read and I'll explain the |
| 16 | So there's a sample of each one at every school | 16 | motion before I read it. |
| 17 | rig | 17 | It is essentially to shut all of the |
| 18 | And I can pull this up, but it's | 18 | faucets between 5 and 20 parts per billion that |
| 19 | document that describes the devices that were | 19 | have cleared and now I guess they're turned on, |
| 20 | tested | 20 | is to turn those off and keep them in line or in |
| 21 | information about what the next steps are, what | 21 | queue until you're finished replacing all of the |
|  | Page 155 |  | Page 157 |
| 1 | the action | 1 | faucets that are above 20 parts per billion. |
| 2 | some information about what local and Federa | 2 | is, I know, will also have operational |
| 3 | drinking | 3 | issues like we talked. |
| 4 | So it's a very informative document | 4 | So the motion is going to make sure that |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay, great. I knew | 5 | you only shut the faucets that are potential |
| 6 | that there was a key communication piece and I |  | drinking water sources for children, especially |
| 7 | just wanted everyone to know that all of th | 7 | in the elementary schools where the kids may |
| 8 | parents are being communicated about the program |  | drink it. |
| 9 | so that they're aware of what the situation is | 9 | And it's not going to precede what |
| 10 | their school for their children | 10 | you're currently doing, it's going to stand in |
| 11 | So we appreciate the thoroughness of the | 11 | line until your current schedule is done. And we |
| 12 | program. | 12 | also talked about the House Bill 1253 that the |
| 13 | Ms. Jose? | 13 | State and the Senate passed, which has reduced |
| 14 | SOSE | 14 | the level to 5 parts per billion and they're |
| 15 | want to thank Mr. Dixit, Mr. Smith and | 15 | working with MDE and MDSE. That may become |
| 16 | Mr. Taylor. You guys have been extraordinarily | 16 | available next year. |
| 17 | professional and I've been working on this with | 17 | But I'll read my motion. So I move that |
| 18 | you guys for almost a year now. You've followed | 18 | the Baltimore County Board of Education shut down |
| 19 | protocol and I'm very impressed. | 19 | all faucets and fixtures that have tested between |
| 20 | king | 20 | 5 parts per billion to 20 parts per billion, |
| 21 | this, which is a health issue. One of the things | 21 | whereas to prevent operational issues within the |

School System, only those fixtures that are potential drinking water sources will be shut down.

Whereas the current action level of 20 parts per billion is still in effect and fixtures that tested above 20 parts per billion will continue to be replaced as scheduled.

Whereas, no amount of lead is acceptable or considered safe, the current action level of 20 parts per billion is a State mandate and is not a health-based standard.

Whereas, the School Board is taking extraordinary steps to replace fixtures between 5 parts per billion and 20 parts per billion proactively, for the health and safety of all of our children.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. Do you want to speak to your motion any more, Ms. Jose?

MS. JOSE: I think I did. And also just to explain in layman's terms. One part per billion is essentially one drop of water in
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10,000 gallons, breaking down roughly. Chemists
will not like that explanation that I just did.
But lead is a known -- is known to cause learning disabilities. So for me that is a concern.

And as somebody that works in water, we take that very seriously since this concerns our children that are most vulnerable. I think it's the right thing to do and to somehow find funding in our -- looking to you, Mr. Smith, in our budget to replace those faucets as well.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Ms. Rowe.
MS. ROWE: So I just want to raise a point of order for form, because I heard a lot of whereas and I didn't hear a resolved. And while I agree with Ms. Jose in the principle of what she's trying to say, it's not really a proper motion in that it gives a lot of the reasons but not actually --

MS. JOSE: It's resolved in the motion where they will shut down all of the fixtures
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that are between 5 and 20 parts per billion.
They are currently not shut down. They are operational. So that's --

MS. ROWE: So is all of this wording the motion?

MS. JOSE: Yes. So I'm basically directing the facilities to shut down all of the faucets that are now open that have cleared the State mandate of 20 parts per billion.

So there are schools that I looked at that had lead in their faucet for 17 parts per billion and they're operational. They're open.

So my motion is essentially to shut those down until they can be replaced. I don't think kids should be drinking from them because they're $17,15,8$, they cleared the State mandate. So that's the motion.

MS. ROWE: I'd like to move to amend the motion so that the motion states, I move that Baltimore County Board of Education shut down all faucets and fixtures that have tested between 5 parts per billion and 20 parts per billion and omit the rest of the whereas statements from the motion.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Is there a second to your amendment?

MS. JOSE: I'll accept that.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: So you'll accept that as a friendly amendment?

MS. JOSE: Yes. The rest of it is just information so people know what I'm talking about.

CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. I had a question. If you could explain a little bit further, and you mentioned it --

MS. JOSE: Someone has to second it.
MS. PASTEUR: Second.
MS. JOSE: Second. There you go.
CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: So the amendment is seconded by Ms. Pasteur and it's accepted as a
friendly amendment, so we don't need to vote?
Excuse me, Ms. Howie?

|  | Page 162 |  | Page 164 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. JOSE: There's no such thing as a | 1 | level from 20 parts per billion to 5 parts per |
| 2 | friendly amendment. | 2 | billion in school water. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: So if we can vote on | 3 | We're kind of pre-empting them. I also |
| 4 | the motion made by Ms. Rowe, amended and seconded | 4 | don't feel comfortable with kids drinking from |
| 5 | by Ms. Pasteur. Is there any discussion about | 5 | water that has 10 parts per billion of lead. |
| 6 | Ms. Rowe's amendment? | 6 | So we're just shutting those down. |
| 7 | Mr. Kuehn? | 7 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Right. So you're |
| 8 | MR. KUEHN: I just want to ask for | 8 | basing it on the science -- |
| 9 | clarification. Are we talking about all fixtures | 9 | MS. JOSE: Yes. |
| 10 | that children drink from or all fixtures that | 10 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: That Maryland -- |
| 11 | tested above -- I don't know if there's a | 11 | excuse me, that the State -- excuse me, the |
| 12 | delineation that you need to make, but | 12 | Federal EPA -- |
| 13 | MS. JOSE: Yeah. So I did have that, | 13 | MS. JOSE: Correct. |
| 14 | and I think -- I may want to amend that. It is | 14 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: --the Environmental |
| 15 | all fixtures | 15 | Protection Agency has researched and put into |
| 16 | the schools operational because if I shut down | 16 | their regulations. |
| 17 | all of the fixtures, they might have an | 17 | MS. JOSE: Right. And it's 5 parts per |
| 18 | operationa | 18 | billion and I have to remind the European Union |
| 19 | So if it's in a boiler room, if it's in | 19 | is 0 parts per billion. So that is a much |
| 20 | something that's not potable, then it's okay to | 20 | stricter regulation. |
| 21 | keep it open. So it's all drinking water | 21 | So when I see faucets that have 18 parts |
|  | Page 163 |  | Page 165 |
| 1 | fixtures. |  | per billion that kids are still drinking from, |
| 2 | MS. ROWE: So I would like to change the | 2 | it's not something I'm comfortable with as a |
| 3 | wording of my amendment, if you'll hand me that |  | public engineer. |
| 4 | back. | 4 | HAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: So, Ms. Rowe, while | 5 | MR. MCCALL: Are you ready for me to |
| 6 | you work on that -- | 6 | restate this? |
| 7 | MS. ROWE: No, I can do it |  | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Yes. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: No, no, no. Just |  | MS. ROWE: So I'd like to reword the |
| 9 | while you |  | amendment. I know, Ms. Howie. I'm sorry. To |
| 10 | MS. ROWE: It's two words | 10 | reword the amendment. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: -- work on that, I | 11 | Okay, you know what, I'll do it proper |
| 12 | wanted to ask Ms. Jose to clarify a point th | 12 | just for her. I withdraw the amendment and I |
| 13 | she made earlier about the EPA standards for the | 13 | would like to make a new amendment which states: |
| 14 | lead. | 14 | I move that the Baltimore County Board of |
| 15 | So you're basing your motion on the | 15 | Education shut down all faucets and fixtures that |
| 16 | EPA's stricter standard of the 5 parts per | 16 | have tested between 5 parts per billion and 20 |
| 17 | billion, is that what I heard you say? | 17 | parts per billion which children are likely to |
| 18 | MS. JOSE: So the EPA standard is for | 18 | ingest from. |
| 19 | drinking water that's treated in the treatment | 19 | MS. JOSE: Second. |
| 20 | plant. But House Bill that recently Maryland | 20 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Okay. So we have a |
| 21 | passed, House Bill 1253 is reducing the action | 21 | motion and we have an amendment to the motion. |





|  | Page 178 |  | Page 180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. RESHID: Children first. | 1 | Education by the NAACP in their Freedom Fun |
| 2 | MS. PASTEUR: What am I talking about? | 2 | Festival. So we wanted to acknowledge her. It's |
| 3 | Kerwin. Ms. Rowe, say know the facts. | 3 | not quite a lifetime of service designation yet |
| 4 | MS. ROWE: Know the facts. | 4 | because her lifetime of service is not done. |
| 5 | MS. PASTEUR: Kerwin. Thank you. | 5 | But anyway, we're very proud of Ms. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Mr. Kuehn, that's a | 6 | Pasteur and all that she's done in the past for |
| 7 | hard act to follow, but -- | 7 | education and all that she is doing right now |
| 8 | MR. KUEHN: That is indeed tough to | 8 | and all that she will do to make excellence |
| 9 | follow so I'm not going to use my own words, I'm | 9 | happen for each and every child in our school |
| 10 | going to use Dwayne the Rock Johnson who quoted | 10 | system. |
| 11 | that his grandmother shared this with him as he | 11 | So absolutely we really want to honor |
| 12 | grew up, since it's kindness month. | 12 | you for that. |
| 13 | It's nice to be important, but it's more | 13 | The next item on the agenda is Item R , |
| 14 | important to be nice. | 14 | information in the Board docs. There is the |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you. Mr. | 15 | southeast area education advisory council meeting |
| 16 | Offerman? | 16 | minutes from their June 19th meeting. |
| 17 | MR. OFFERMAN: Yes. Mr. Reshid and I as | 17 | And then the last item is |
| 18 | members of the policy review committee are | 18 | announcements. Our next Board meeting is |
| 19 | working with Dr. McComas and the area | 19 | Tuesday, October 22nd, 6:30 here in Greenwood |
| 20 | superintendents as well as Dr. Wheatley-Phillip trying to gather data that will hopefully lead to | 20 | Building E. And that will be the public comment meeting for our calendar. |
|  | Page 179 |  | Page 181 |
| 1 | an introduction of a policy that will give us a |  | So thank you very much, and you all have |
| 2 | policy for cell phone use by students in the | 2 | a good evening. |
| 3 | schools. It's going to be a lengthy process. I | 3 | Kindness matters. |
| 4 | hope to have it done and in place by next school | 4 | (Proceedings concluded) |
| 5 | year. | 5 |  |
| 6 | We want to certainly get the input from | 6 |  |
| 7 | all the stakeholders and we want to make -- and | 7 |  |
| 8 | we want to look at what is a, you know, a | 8 |  |
| 9 | positive plan that will help make learning better | 9 |  |
| 10 | and will help make school conditions better. | 10 |  |
| 11 | Thank you. | 11 |  |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CAUSEY: Thank you, Mr. | 12 |  |
| 13 | Offerman. | 13 |  |
| 14 | And I just wanted to make one final | 14 |  |
| 15 | Board member comment. Ms. Cheryl Pasteur is | 15 |  |
| 16 | going to be honored in the upcoming future, the | 16 |  |
| 17 | near future and I apologize that I will not be | 17 |  |
| 18 | able to attend the event, although I would love | 18 |  |
| 19 | to be there, but I will be visiting my daughter | 19 |  |
| 20 | in college. | 20 |  |
| 21 | But she's being honored as Excellence in | 21 |  |




| 13 | $117: 3$ | 85th | $31: 13$ | $\mathbf{1 8 t h}$ | $182: 13$ | $164: 1$, |  | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3: 10$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | $144: 19$ |  | $151: 16,65: 16$ |  |

17, 21
< 9 >
129:8
50

97:21
149:8
150:
12

| 80:18 | $81: 12$ | 90s | $31: 14$ | 19th | $180: 16$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $147: 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 0}$ | $85: 16$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $101: 14$ | $143: 18$ | $\mathbf{5 1 2 0}$ | $79: 15$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $4: 3$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 0}$ | $145: 15$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0}$ | $145: 16$ |

[^0]| 26 | 3:11 | 5th | 81:5 | 85484iPy | 145:8 | 106:20 | 182:8 | 147:12 | 151:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85:58 |  |  | 27th | 15:17 |  |  |  |  |

145:7
$\mathbf{1 , 6 8 0} 145: 18$

| $118: 5$ |  | $36: 3$ | $66: 13$ | $156: 7,9,18$ | $<$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $157: 1,20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 133 |  |  |  | $158: 4$, |  |  |
| $: 4$ |  |  |  | $151:$ | $6,10,14$ | $160: 15: 7$ 2nd |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $159: 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $65: 16$ |  |




| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $3: 18$ | $\mathbf{7 : 0 0}$ |  | accepted | $161: 19$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $3: 19$ |  | $172: 1$ | access | $42: 4$ |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $3: 20$ | 4 |  | $50: 2$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $4: 7,8$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{7 3 3 0}$ | $78: 6$ | $95: 11$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $96: 8$ |  |

99:4, 19


$70: 4,8,11$
71:
$1,59: 9,10$
177
:8,
$19 \quad 72: 18$
73.18 ,





$\begin{array}{llll}\text { discarded } & 31: 21 & 98: 4 & 102: 10\end{array}$
discipline 6:6 district
21:11, 11, 13
discount
97:21
33:16
102:17
34:13
44:8
69:11 124:4

175:4



| 88:6, 18 |  | $9,14,21$$10,16,18$ | 72:2, 6, | 6, 95:15 | 107:8 | followed <br> 142:21 | 82:1 framework |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| familiar families | 51:17 |  | 8 73:2, | 133:21 | 147: $¢$ |  | 155:18 99:13 |  |  |
|  | 62:11 | 6 |  | 151:15 | 166:1 | Ofollowing | 6:4 | Francis | 11:2 |
| 65:7 | 95:19 | Festival | 180:2 | 170:2 | 172:16 | 8:10 | 9:14 | Franklin | 57:7 |
| 105:6 |  | fields | 30:8 | 177:21 | 178: | 79:13 | 81:5 | fraudulent |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { family } \\ 60: 18 \end{gathered} \quad 12: 5,6$ |  | fifth 7 | 7:14 | five 13:7 |  | 73:6138:8, $19 \quad 13$ |  | 39:1 32:13 |  |
|  |  | fighting 50:14 |  | 82:17 | 83:4, | 3followup 13:19 |  | free 46:18 |  |
| fancier | 119:21 | 118:4 |  | 16 85:12 |  | food 6 | 68:18 | 177:13, | , 14 |
| FAPE | 47:3 | fights | 23:16 | 125:12 | 149: | Gfoolish | 42:14 | Freedom | 180:1 |
| $\underset{112: 14}{\text { far }} 10$ | 101:18 | figure | 91:10 | 17 |  | footprint | 65:15 | frequently | y 19:20 |
|  | 115:12 | 2 95:6 | 117:1 | fix 16 | 69:12 | forced | 42:19 | fresh | 43:13 |
| farm | 30:20 | 120:15 | 121:7 | 7 fixture | 146:19, | forcing | 129:2 | Friday | 64:12 |
| fastfaster | 20:10 | filing | 95:5 | $20 \quad 147$ | 47:3 | foregoing | 182:6 | 72:2 | 82:18 |
|  | 29:1 | 173:16 |  | 148:12 | 152: ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | F Foresman | 10:1 | 7 friend | 12:8 |
| faster faucet | 160:11 | fill 1061 | 106:1 | 113:553:14 |  | forever | 20:3 | 70:14 | 72:15 |
| faucets | 145:4 | final | 18:10 | fixtures | 140:7, | form | 19:13 | friendly | 16:3 |
| 156:18 | 157: | 5, 179:14 |  | 8, 10, 13, 1 | 14, 18 | 38:2 | 59:2 | 161:8, 20 | $20 \quad 162: 2$ |
| $19 \quad 15$ | 59:10 | finalizing | g 124:9 | 9 143:19 | 144: | 159:14 |  | friends | 12:5, 8 |
| 160:8,21 |  | finally | 51:11 | 145:3 | 146:4, | 2tbrmed | 63:9 | 72:13 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 164: 21 \\ & 170: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 165:15 | 5 67:18 | 69:20 | 147:5, 16 | 16,18 | former | 46:14 | full 75:8 | 75:8 |
|  |  | 71:14 |  | 148:1 | 149:13, | , forms | 133:13 | 94:12 | 111:21 |
| favor | 9:3 | 1 filiancial | 41:11 | $17 \quad 15$ | 51:17 | forth | 116:21 | full-time | 26:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:17 } \\ & 79: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 75:21 | financially |  | 157:19 | 158:1, | , 5,117:8 |  | fully | 24:9, 10 |
|  | 80:10 | 56:19 |  | $13 \quad 159$ | 59:21 | forum | 14:2 | 131:3 |  |
| 132:1 | 166:3 | find $\quad 22$ | 22:12 | 160:21 | 162:9, | , 51:16 |  | Fun 180: | 180:1 |
| 170:7 | 171:9 | 33:18 | 41:7 | 10, 15, 17 |  | 1 forward | 7:21 | function | 175:16 |
| fear | 55:3 | 56:183:14 | 45:9 | 165:15 | 168:4 | 8:3 | 9:13 | Gund | 29:7 |
| feasibility |  | 61:14 | 96:7 | 170:14, |  | 62:20 | 76:21 | fundamen |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 103: 15 & 114: 17 \\ 115: 5 \end{array}$ |  | 98:20 | 108:20 | flag | 5:6 | 77:12 | 80:17 | 175:21 |  |
|  |  | 132:16 | 153 | Oflexibility | 110:6 | 6 138:7 | 139:3 | Funded | 4:10 |
| feasible 100:7, 9 |  | $9 \quad 159: 8$ |  | 117:11 | 121: | Sforwarded | d 75:7 | 77:6, 13, | 3,21 |
| $\text { 102:18 } 115: \mid \text {, }$ |  | finding | 67:6 | floor | 136:16, 18 | 8 81:15 |  | funding | 66:15 |
| 18 |  | 153:16 |  | flounderin |  | found | 6:15 | 67:7 | 122:13 |
| February |  | 2 findings | 139:16 | 52:14 |  | 45:7, 15 | 5 73:1 | 123:12, |  |
| Federal 155:2 | 51:16 | 154:6 |  | flushing | 142:15 | 5 140:12 | 143:6 | 124:11 | 159:8 |
|  | 164:12 | fine 50 | 50:10 | focus | 21:20 | 146:18 | 147:1 | 1 funds | 78:3 |
| feed 69:3 |  | finish | 94:15, 16 | 36:14 | 37:2 | foundation |  | further | 86:16 |
| feedback 32:16 |  | 109:13 |  | 60:20 |  | 26:14 | 78:1 | 161:14 | 182:9 |
| $\begin{array}{lc} 61: 4 & 116: 11 \\ 121: 9 & 133: 15 \end{array}$ |  | finished | 56:6 | focused | 18:3 | fountains |  | Furthe | re |
|  |  | 156:21 |  | 36:15 | 37:2 | 142:10 |  | 16:6 |  |
| feel 5 | 54:15 | 5firmly | 28:8 | 39:11 |  | four 12 | 12:3 | future | 76:8 |
| 61:16 | 71:4 | 114:6 |  | focuses | 18:11 | 33:17 | 55:2 | 176:1 | 179:16 |
| 112:2 | 128:9 | first 5:15 | 5:15 7:7 | 7:7,40:1 |  | 66:19 | 85:5, | 17 |  |
| 164:4 | 175:6 | 10 10:10 | 0:16 15 | 5ffcusing | 71:18 | 104:19 | 125:11 |  |  |
| feelings | 46:10 | 17:18 | 18:16 | folks | 94:10 | 149:11 |  | < G |  |
| feels | 133:7 | 26:8, 13 | 3 37:17 | 95:19 | 96:20 | fourth | 7:13 | gain | $9: 8$ |
| feet | 65:16 | 40:1 | 41:12 | 105:20 | 109:1 | 1 84:12 |  | gallons | 159:1 |
| felt 20 | 20:13 | 30:345:7 | 48:2 | 54215:10 |  | four-week |  | gap | 27:4 |
| FEMALE |  | 60:16 | 61:10, | If8llow | 33:10 | 104:13 |  | gaps | 9:3, 7 |
| 70:14,18 |  | 1, 66:2 | 71:7 | 80.86:4 | 178:7,9 |  |  | garden | 64:1 |









| phase | 143:14 | planned | 84:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { population } \\ & 52: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | 173:13President's |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 144:3 |  | 171:2 |  |  |  | 14 |  | 121:21 | 122:8 |  |
| Phillips | 111:2, | 3plans | 16:1 | portion | 7:9 | powerful | 72:19 presumably |  |  |  |
| phone | 35:16, 20 | O 122:7 |  | 60:9 | 66:16 | Powhatan |  | 173:17 |  |  |
| 36:2 | 109:1 | plant | 163:20 | poses | 99:6 | 145:16practical | 149:19presumption |  |  |  |
| 114:7 | 179:2 | play 8 | 84:20 | position | 125:4 |  | 119:13 48:3 |  |  |  |
| phones | 35:18 | playing | 70:10 | positions | 26:18 | practice | 7:1 | pretend | 21:4 |  |
| 68:19 |  | plea 3 | 31:13 | 29:8 |  | 29:20 |  | pretty | 40:10 |  |
| phonetic | 11:10 | Pleasant | 66:5 | positive | 70:17 | practices | 13:21 | 1 108:3 |  |  |
| physical | 48:19 | please | 9:3 | 10:92:16, 19 | 19 73:3 | 3, 17:8 | 175:8 | prevent | 62:14 |  |
| 49:8,21 | 1 53:2 | 17 11: | 1:11, 18 | 4 179: |  | pre 81 | 81:2 82: | 2:21157:21 |  |  |
| pick | 76:19 | 14:14 | 32:1 | positivity | 72:3 | 89:7 | 119:4, 6 | 6 preventing |  |  |
| 89:11 | 172:7 | 34:7 | 35:14, 1 | 4possibilitie |  | precede | 157:9 | 71:13 |  |  |
| picked | 50:11 | 67:19 | 74:17 | 89:19 |  | pre-emptin |  | Prevention |  |  |
| 107:21 |  | 75:10, 2 |  | :/possibility | 89:9 | 164:3 |  | 62:15 |  |  |
| pictures | 73:5 | 80:10 | 132:2 | 106:16 |  | prefer | 39:21 | previous | 13:4 |  |
| piece | 35:8 | 36:435:8 | 166:4 | possible | 44:14 | 94:11 | 119:18 | 38:15 | 87:20 |  |
| 95:9, 17 | 7, 18 | 169:14 | 170:7 | 58:9 | 91:16 | 134:21 |  | 95:12 | 110:5 |  |
| 96:4 | 102:21 | 171:9 |  | 102:11 | 106: 6 | preference |  | previously | 81:18 |  |
| 124:9 | 148:2 | pleasure | 62:5 | 111:16 | 119:19 | 9 120:3 |  | primary | 44:4 |  |
| 155:6 |  | 174:15 |  | 121:1 | 122:7 | preferences |  | 95:13 | 103:1 |  |
| pieces | 41:12 | Pledge | 3:3 | 5:6,28:5 | 133:13 | 109:7 |  | Principal | 12:9 |  |
| 103:7 | 153:8 | 11 72: | 2:5 | 150:6 |  | preferred | 31:6 | 58:5 | 62:10 |  |
| Pikesville | - 11:21 | 1 Point | 68:12 | possibly | 46:12 | 134:18 |  | 65:6, 19 | 66:5 |  |
| Pinewood | d 62:5, | 87:2 | 104:17 | 52:2 | 101:14 | pre-Labor |  | 67:14 |  |  |
| 8 64: | :13, 18 | 105:7 | 107:4 | Post 73: | 73:4 | 81:14 | 82:12, | lprincipals |  |  |
| 65:8 |  | 108:4 | 111:13 | 82:21 | 119:6 | 94:11, 14 | $14 \quad 109$ | 9:9 61:21 | 62:1, 2, 2 |  |
| pioneerin |  | 119:1 | 125:1 | posted | 63:15 | prepare | 80:19 | 67:16 | 154:14 |  |
| 16:10 |  | 126:7 | 132:21 | 116:6 | 132:11 | prepared | 94:13 | 3 176:15, 1 | 15,16 |  |
| pipes | 146:4 | 142:9 | 159:14 | 140:5 | 142:7 | preparing | 60:19 | 19 177:1, 3 |  |  |
| piping | 147:3, 5 | 163:12 | 168:1 | 7posting | 112:19 | prerequisit |  | principle | 159:16 |  |
| place | 19:11 | pointed | 94:2, | 143:3 |  | 31:18 |  | prior | 6:19 |  |
| 46:21 | 47:19 | 19 109:8 | 09:8 | post-Labor |  | pre-schoole | lers | 12:1 | 46:6 | 84:5 |
| 50:7 | 51:21 | 111:10 |  | 80:20 | 81:3, 1 | 6 27:13 |  | 86:11 | 90:7 |  |
| 71:2 | 81:3 | pointing | 108:14 | 4 82:11, 13 | 13, 16 | Present | 2:8 | 140:1 |  |  |
| 112:17 | 135:9 | points | 87:10 | 83:3, 9 | 84:6 | 8:3 | 24:14 | prioritize | 43:13 |  |
| 137:17 | 138:1 | 6Policies | 4:3,12 | 88:10 | 89:7 | 29:18 | 116:8 | priority | 31:4 |  |
| 179:4 |  | 40:18 | 57:17 | 94:11, 15 |  | 138:7 | 139:14 | 43:1 | 37:15, 17, |  |
| placed | 7:6 | 79:9, 13 |  | 107:10 | 109:9 | 140:7 | 171:3 | 19, 20 | 53:11, 12 |  |
| 45:20 | 47:8 | policy | 13:21 | 119:5 |  | presentatio |  | privacy | 173:13, |  |
| 48:6 | 56:7, 11 | 57:19 | 58:2, 4, | 4,potable | 162:20 | 40:8, 9 | 85:21 | 13 |  |  |
| places | 150:8 | 1159 | 9:2, 7, 10, | potential | 49:9 | 138:8 | 171:21 | Privately | 4:10 |  |
| Plains | 66:5 | 13, 15 | 78:6 | 121:19 | 122: | presented | 6:1 | 77:6,13 |  |  |
| plan | 27:8 | 79:10, 1 | 14, 15, 15, | 123:19 | 142:13 | 3 10:1 | 79:18 | privilege | 37:11 |  |
| 28:15 | 52:12 | 16 80:1 | 0:1 96:15 | :15 157:5 | 158:2 | 81:12 | 115:12 | proactively |  |  |
| 60:20 | 61:3, 才 | 178:18 | 179:1, | , 2 166:9, 1 | 11,13 | 136:6 | 141:9 | 158:15 |  |  |
| 68:20 | 69:15 | poll 1 | 120:18 | 170:16 |  | President | 15:14 | 4 probably | 93:10 |  |
| 117:8, 9 | 9, 10 | poorly | 42:18 | potentially |  | 19:2 | 23:8, 9 | 98:12 | 109:1 |  |
| 135:9, 1 | 17 179 | 9:9 55:5, 6 |  | 83:17 | 111:9 | 34:18 | 35:2 | 133:1 |  |  |
|  |  | populated | d 16:7 |  |  | 45:6 | 137:1 |  |  |  |












| Young <br> $52: 18$ | $12: 14$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| youngest | $26: 20$ |
| $28: 1$ | $56: 9$ |
| youth | $16: 20$ |
|  |  |
| $\langle\quad \mathbf{Z}$ |  |
| Zarchin | $21: 12$ |
| 17 | $177: 5,7$ |
| zoned | $56: 16$ |


[^0]:    38:3, 8

